28

Generally, "compelling reasons" must exist to seal documents. *See Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006). A court may properly deny public access to a court filing that contains "business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978). The Court finds that the information that the Receiver seeks to file under seal, if publicly disclosed, could disadvantage the receivership in sales negotiations and/or negatively impact the receivership's ability to recover the proposed purchase price. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** the Receiver's motion to file supplemental brief under seal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 27, 2018

Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel
United States District Judge