Alvarez-Morales v. USA Doc. 2

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

VS.

JUAN ALVAREZ-MORALES,

Defendant-Petitioner.

CASE NO. 11-CR-5051

ORDER

(1) DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR TIME REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2255 AND

(2) DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

Petitioner Juan Alvarez-Morales filed a motion for reduction of time pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. He seeks relief on the grounds that due to his alien status he is ineligible for a one year reduction of sentence through a drug program, an early release to a half-way house, or a Unicor job. [Pet. at 2.] Petitioner argues that the availability of these programs to United States citizens but not to aliens violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, deprives him of Due Process under the Fifth Amendment, and violates the Equal Rights Act of 1964. [*Id.*]

DISCUSSION

Petitioner pleaded guilty to Importation of Cocaine in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 952 & 960, which carries a maximum sentence of up to life in prison and a mandatory minimum sentence of 10

¹ Petitioner submitted a three page Petition in which he simply lists the Constitutional clauses.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	۱

28

years in prison. [Doc. 17, at 3.] As part of his plea agreement he waived his rights to appeal or collaterally attack his sentence. [Doc. 17, at 10.] Petitioner's motion raises no challenge to the validity of that waiver, therefore this Court lacks jurisdiction to consider any collateral challenge to his conviction and sentence. *See Washington v. Lampert*, 422 F.3d 864, 869-70 (9th Cir. 2005) (recognizing that if sentencing agreement's waiver of the right to file a federal habeas petition was valid, district court lacked jurisdiction to hear the case).

Accordingly, Petitioner's Motion For Reduction of Sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 11, 2012

United States District Court Judge