1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GUILLERMO VERA, Civil 13cv0247 JLS (BGS) CDCR #K-73387, No. 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA 14 VS. AS MOOT AND DISMISSING 15 CIVIL ACTION FOR LACK OF DIRECTOR OF CDCR: PROPER VENUE PURSUANT 16 RAFAEL DIAS, Warden; TO 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) CLEMENTS OGBUEHY, Nurse 17 [ECF Doc. No. 5] Practitioner; TIMOTHY BYERS, Physician Assistant, 18 19 Defendants. 20 Plaintiff, a state prisoner currently incarcerated at California State Prison in 21 Corcoran, California (CSP-COR), and proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action 22 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on January 28, 2013. 23 In his Complaint, Plaintiff claims the Director of the California Department of 24 Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), and several California Substance Abuse 25 Treatment Facility (SATF) officials, violated his rights to adequate medical care, 26 access to the court, and to be free from cruel and unusual punishments during his 27 incarceration there in 2011 and early 2012. (Compl. at 2-3.) 28

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not seek leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). *See* Feb. 20, 2013 Order [ECF Doc. No. 2] at 2, 3. Second, "while the Court would normally grant Plaintiff an opportunity to either submit the full filing fee or file a Motion to Proceed IFP, an initial review of the Complaint further reveal[ed] that [Plaintiff's] case lacks proper venue." *Id.* at 2. Specifically, the Court found that:

[w]hile Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at CSP-COR, [...] he fails to allege a county of residence for any Defendant, [...] claims the substantial part of the events or omissions giving

[w]hile Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at CSP-COR, [...] he fails to allege a county of residence for any Defendant, [...] claims the substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to his claims occurred while he was incarcerated at SATF in Corcoran, California, and the exhibits attached to his Complaint indicate Defendants Dias, Ogbuehy and Byers are CDCR officials employed at SATF. (See Compl. at 2; Exs. [ECF No. 1-1] at 13, 14, 16, 23.) The City of Corcoran is located in Kings County, California. Therefore, venue is proper in the Eastern District of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 84(b), but not in the Southern District of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 84(d) ("The Southern District [of California] comprises the counties of Imperial and San Diego."). See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b); Costlow, 790 F.2d at 1488.

On February 20, 2013, the Court dismissed the action for two reasons. First,

Plaintiff failed to prepay the civil filing fees required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) and did

Therefore, the Court dismissed the action sua sponte but without prejudice to Plaintiff's re-filing it in the proper district. *Id.* at 2-3 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a)).

On June 3, 2013, however, Plaintiff re-opened the case in this Court by filing a Motion to Proceed IFP [ECF Doc. No. 5], without any explanation or amendment which might show how or why venue lies in the Southern District of California.

Conclusion and Order

For the reasons set forth above, the Court hereby DENIES Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed IFP [ECF Doc. No. 5] as moot. Plaintiff's case remains dismissed in this Court without prejudice for lack of proper venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Should Plaintiff wish to pursue the claims alleged in his Complaint, he should do so

28

26

²⁷

¹ The only remaining Defendant is the "Director of the CDCR." (Compl. at 1.) The CDCR is headquartered in Sacramento, California. The City and County of Sacramento are also located in the Eastern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 84(b).

by filing a civil action in the Eastern District of California, as that district appears to be the only proper venue. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 84(b), 1391(b). IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: June 26, 2013 Honorable Janis L. Sammartino United States District Judge