

FILED

14 APR 30 PM 3:10

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY *alc* COURT REPORTER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 JESUS LOPEZ,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 CITY OF IMPERIAL, CHIEF
14 MICHAEL COLOGNE, ALBERT
15 VALENZUELA, EDMAND
16 ESCALLADA, and Does 1 through
17 100,

18 Defendants.

CASE NO. 13-CV-597-BEN (WVG)

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF**

[Docket No. 26]


19 Before this Court is Defendants' Motion for Leave of Court to Allow Defendants
20 to File a Supplemental Brief to Their Motion for Summary Judgment. (Docket No. 26).
21 On April 22, 2014, the United States Supreme Court issued a decision in *Navarette v.*
22 *California*, which Defendants assert bears directly upon Defendants' Motion for
23 Summary Judgment. Defendants request permission to file a supplemental brief of no
24 more than two pages to discuss the impact of the holding in *Navarette*. Defendants
25 assert that they can file their supplemental briefing within 15 days of receiving notice
26 that their motion has been approved.

27 For good cause shown, the Motion is **GRANTED IN PART**. Defendants have
28 leave to file a supplemental brief of no more than two pages. In order to ensure that
Plaintiff is not prejudiced by the supplemental briefing, Defendants are required to file

1 their brief **no later than May 12, 2014.**

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3
4 Dated: 4/30, 2014


HON. ROGER T. BENITEZ
United States District Judge

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28