

13cv1133-WQH

that the claim for excessive force is barred on the grounds that Plaintiff cannot 1 collaterally attack his conviction for unlawfully resisting or preventing deputies from 2 3 performing their duties on March 21, 2013 in this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On September 6, 2013, the Court provided Plaintiff with notice of the motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust pursuant to *Wyatt v. Terhune* providing Plaintiff with additional time to file a response to the motions to dismiss. (ECF No. 23). 6

7

4

5

Plaintiff did not file any response to the motions to dismiss.

On October 8, 2013, the Magistrate Judge issued the Report and 8 9 Recommendation, recommending that Defendants' motions to dismiss be granted. 10 (ECF No. 24). The Report and Recommendation concluded: "IT IS HEREBY 11 ORDERED that no later than <u>November 8, 2013</u>, after receiving a copy of this Report 12 and Recommendation, any party to this action may file written objections with the 13 Court and serve a copy on all parties." *Id.* at 7.

14

15

Neither party filed objections to the Report and Recommendation.

II. **Review of the Report and Recommendation**

16 The duties of the district court in connection with a report and recommendation 17 of a magistrate judge are set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). When a party objects to a report and recommendation, "[a] judge 18 19 of the [district] court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report 20 and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). When no 21 objections are filed, the district court need not review the report and recommendation de novo. See United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121-22 (9th Cir. 2003) (en 22 23 banc). A district court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); see also 28 24 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). 25

26 Neither party objected to the Report and Recommendation, and the Court has 27 reviewed the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. The Magistrate Judge 28 correctly recommended: "Without any facts or evidence to indicate Plaintiff followed

1	the required procedures and exhausted his claims prior to filing the action, Defendants'
2	nonenumerated 12(b) motions be GRANTED because pursuant to the [Prison Litigation
3	Reform Act of 1995], exhaustion is mandatory." (ECF No. 24 at 7).
4	IV. Conclusion
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED
6	in its entirety. (ECF No. 24)
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's motions to dismiss (ECF Nos. 9
8	and 12) are granted and this action is dismissed without prejudice.
9	DATED: December 4, 2013
10	Willow 2. Hayes
11	Willan 2. Mayes WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	