

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
Margret M. Caruso (Bar No. 243473)
2 Cheryl A. Galvin (Bar No. 252262)
3 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139
4 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100
5 Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HANGINOUT, INC.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
GOOGLE INC.,
Defendant.

CASE NO. 13-CV-2811 AJB NLS
**DECLARATION OF SERGE
LACHAPELLE IN SUPPORT OF
GOOGLE'S OPPOSITION TO
HANGINOUT'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Date: April 25, 2014
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Courtroom 3B
Judge: Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia

Doc. 30 Att. 5

Hanginout, Inc. v. Google, Inc.

Dockets.Jurista.com

1 “The Hangout” as a video link and, if anyone could meet at those weird times, we
2 would just “hang out.”

3 5. In late 2010, Google decided to expand this existing videoconferencing
4 technology and pursue a consumer videoconferencing product. The name we were
5 using for our Stockholm-Kirkland link—“Hangout”—seemed to capture the essence
6 of the product, so the team decided to adapt that name for the consumer product.

7 7. I had not heard of a company or app called “Hanginout” when we
8 started calling our internal product “The Hangout,” when we launched our
9 commercial product “Hangouts,” or when Google launched the mobile phone app
10 version in May 2013.

11
12 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

13 Executed on March 14, 2014 at Stockholm, Sweden.

Serge Lachapelle

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28