1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	E.DIGITAL CORPORATION,	CASE NO. 14-cv-227-H-BGS
12	vs. Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING ALL DEADLINES IN LIEU OF A
13		STAY
14 15	Shinano Kenshi Co., Ltd.; Shinano Kenshi Corporation, d/b/a Plextor; Plextor LLC; and Plextor Americas,	[Doc. No. 13]
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	On January 31, 2014, Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation filed its complaint against	
19	Defendants LiteShinano Kenshi Co., Ltd., Shinano Kenshi Corporation, d/b/a Plextor,	
20	Plextor LLC, and Plextor Americas. (Doc. No. 1.) On March 20, 2014, Plaintiff filed	
21	a notice of settlement and unopposed, ex parte motion to stay all deadlines pending	
22	settlement. (Doc. No. 13.) The parties request a stay until April 28, 2014 to execute	
23	a settlement agreement and file a notice of voluntary dismissal. (See id. at 2.)	
24		
25		
26	Furthermore, "the suppliant for a stay must make out a clear case of hardship or	
27	inequity in being required to go forward" <u>Landis v. North Am. Co.</u> , 299 U.S. 248,	
28	255 (1936); see also Lockyer v. Mirant Cor	<u>p.</u> , 398 F.3d 1098, 1112 (9th Cir. 2005)

(quoting <u>Landis</u> and holding that "being required to defend a suit, without more, does
 not constitute a 'clear case of hardship or inequity' within the meaning of <u>Landis</u>").

In lieu of a formal stay, the Court orders a limited continuance of deadlines in
this action for the parties to effect settlement. An extension of the case deadlines for
thirty-five days ensures that the proceedings will be delayed no longer than necessary.
Exercising its discretion, the Court denies the parties' motion to stay the proceedings
and continues all deadlines until April 28, 2014.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 24, 2014

RILYN Ľ. HUFF, Districť UNITED STATES DÍSTRICT COURT

- 2 -