

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MOSHE D. SHARABI,  
BOP Reg. No. 08309-087,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
vs.  
  
MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC;  
MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT,  
Inc.,  
  
Defendants.

Civil No. 14cv1145 GPC (RBB)

**ORDER:**

**(1) GRANTING MOTION TO  
PROCEED IN FORMA  
PAUPERIS, IMPOSING INITIAL  
PARTIAL FILING FEE, AND  
GARNISHING REMAINING  
BALANCE FROM PRISONER  
TRUST ACCOUNT  
[ECF Doc. No. 2]**

**AND**

**(2) DIRECTING U.S. MARSHAL  
TO EFFECT SERVICE UPON  
DEFENDANTS PURSUANT  
TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) AND  
FED.R.CIV.P. 4(c)(3)**

Moshe D. Sharabi (“Plaintiff”), a federal inmate currently incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution (“FCI”) in Otisville, New York, and proceeding pro se, has filed a civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq., and the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (“FDCPA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1962 et seq. *See* Compl. at 1.

///

1 Plaintiff has not prepaid the filing fees required to commence a civil action  
2 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); instead, he has filed a Motion to Proceed *In Forma*  
3 *Pauperis* (“IFP”) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) (ECF Doc. No. 2).

4 **I. MOTION TO PROCEED IFP**

5 All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the  
6 United States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee.<sup>1</sup> *See*  
7 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a plaintiff’s failure to prepay the  
8 entire fee only if he is granted leave to proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). *See*  
9 *Rodriguez v. Cook*, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999). However, if the plaintiff is a  
10 prisoner, and he is granted leave to proceed IFP, he remains obligated to pay the entire  
11 fee in installments and regardless of whether his action is ultimately dismissed. *See* 28  
12 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1) & (2); *Taylor v. Delatoore*, 281 F.3d 844, 847 (9th Cir. 2002).

13 Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, as amended by the Prison Litigation Reform Act  
14 (“PLRA”), prisoners seeking leave to proceed IFP must submit a “certified copy of the  
15 trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the six-  
16 month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint.” 28 U.S.C.  
17 § 1915(a)(2); *Andrews v. King*, 398 F.3d 1113, 1119 (9th Cir. 2005). From the certified  
18 trust account statement, the Court assesses an initial payment of 20% of (a) the average  
19 monthly deposits in the account for the past six months, or (b) the average monthly  
20 balance in the account for the past six months, whichever is greater, unless the prisoner  
21 has no assets. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4). The institution  
22 having custody of the prisoner then collects subsequent payments, assessed at 20% of  
23 the preceding month’s income, in any month in which the prisoner’s account exceeds  
24 \$10, and forwards those payments to the Court until the entire filing fee is paid. *See* 28  
25 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

---

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> In addition to the \$350 statutory fee, all parties filing civil actions on or after May 1,  
28 2013, must pay an additional administrative fee of \$50. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), (b); Judicial  
Conference Schedule of Fees, District Court Misc. Fee Schedule (eff. May 1, 2013). However,  
*Id.*

1 The Court finds that Plaintiff has submitted a certified copy of his trust account  
2 statement pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and S.D. CAL. CIVLR 3.2. *Andrews*, 398  
3 F.3d at 1119. Plaintiff’s statement shows an average monthly balance of \$143.05,  
4 average monthly deposits of \$212.96, and an available balance of \$415.15 at the time he  
5 filed his Complaint. Based on this financial information, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff’s  
6 Motion to Proceed IFP (ECF Doc. No. 2) and assesses an initial partial filing fee of  
7 \$42.59 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

8 However, the Warden of FCI Otisville, or his designee, shall collect this initial fee  
9 only if sufficient funds in Plaintiff’s account are available at the time this Order is  
10 executed pursuant to the directions set forth below. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4)  
11 (providing that “[i]n no event shall a prisoner be prohibited from bringing a civil action  
12 or appealing a civil action or criminal judgment for the reason that the prisoner has no  
13 assets and no means by which to pay the initial partial filing fee.”); *Taylor*, 281 F.3d at  
14 850 (finding that 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4) acts as a “safety-valve” preventing dismissal  
15 of a prisoner’s IFP case based solely on a “failure to pay . . . due to the lack of funds  
16 available to him when payment is ordered.”). The remaining balance of the \$350 total  
17 owed in this case shall be collected and forwarded to the Clerk of the Court pursuant to  
18 the installment payment provisions set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

19 **II. SCREENING PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)**

20 A complaint filed by *any* person proceeding IFP is subject to an initial review and  
21 sua sponte dismissal by the Court if Plaintiff’s complaint is found frivolous or malicious,  
22 if it fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or if it seeks monetary relief  
23 from a defendant who is immune. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); *Calhoun v. Stahl*, 254  
24 F.3d 845, 845 (9th Cir. 2001) (per curiam) (holding that “the provisions of 28 U.S.C.  
25 § 1915(e)(2)(B) are not limited to prisoners.”); *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th  
26 Cir. 2000) (en banc) (“[S]ection 1915(e) not only permits, but requires a district court to  
27 dismiss an in forma pauperis complaint that fails to state a claim.”).

28 ///

1 All complaints must contain “a short and plain statement of the claim showing that  
2 the pleader is entitled to relief.” FED.R.CIV.P. 8(a)(2). Detailed factual allegations are  
3 not required, but “[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by  
4 mere conclusory statements, do not suffice.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009)  
5 (citing *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)). “Determining  
6 whether a complaint states a plausible claim for relief [is] . . . a context-specific task that  
7 requires the reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense.” *Id.*  
8 The “mere possibility of misconduct” falls short of meeting this plausibility standard.  
9 *Id.*

10 “When there are well-pleaded factual allegations, a court should assume their  
11 veracity, and then determine whether they plausibly give rise to an entitlement to relief.”  
12 *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 679; *see also Resnick v. Hayes*, 213 F.3d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 2000)  
13 (“[W]hen determining whether a complaint states a claim, a court must accept as true all  
14 allegations of material fact and must construe those facts in the light most favorable to  
15 the plaintiff.”); *Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (noting that  
16 § 1915(e)(2) “parallels the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6)”). The  
17 court “ha[s] an obligation where the petitioner is pro se, . . . to construe the pleadings  
18 liberally and to afford the petitioner the benefit of any doubt.” *Hebbe v. Pliler*, 627 F.3d  
19 338, 342 & n.7 (9th Cir. 2010) (citing *Bretz v. Kelman*, 773 F.2d 1026, 1027 n.1 (9th Cir.  
20 1985)).

21 Here, the Court finds Plaintiff’s FCRA and FDCPA claims are sufficient to survive  
22 the initial screening required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).<sup>2</sup> *See Lopez*, 203 F.3d at 1126-  
23 27. Accordingly, the Court finds Plaintiff is entitled to U.S. Marshal service on his  
24 behalf. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) (“The officers of the court shall issue and serve all  
25 process, and perform all duties in [IFP] cases.”); FED.R.CIV.P. 4(c)(3) (“[T]he court may  
26 ///

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff is cautioned that “the sua sponte screening and dismissal procedure is  
cumulative of, and not a substitute for, any subsequent Rule 12(b)(6) motion that [a defendant]  
may choose to bring.” *Teahan v. Wilhelm*, 481 F. Supp. 2d 1115, 1119 (S.D. Cal. 2007).

1 order that service be made by a United States marshal or deputy marshal . . . if the  
2 plaintiff is authorized to proceed *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.”).

3 **III. CONCLUSION AND ORDER**

4 Good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

5 1. Plaintiff’s Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) (ECF  
6 Doc. No. 2) is **GRANTED**.

7 2. The Warden of FCI Otisville, or his designee, shall collect from Plaintiff’s  
8 prison trust account the initial filing fee assessed in this Order, if sufficient funds are  
9 available at the time the Order is executed, and in any event shall thereafter forward the  
10 remainder of the total \$350 filing fee owed by collecting monthly payments from  
11 Plaintiff’s account in an amount equal to twenty percent (20%) of the preceding month’s  
12 income and shall forward payments to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in the  
13 account exceeds \$10 in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). **ALL PAYMENTS**  
14 **SHALL BE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED BY THE NAME AND NUMBER ASSIGNED**  
15 **TO THIS ACTION.**

16 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order on Warden,  
17 FCI Otisville, Federal Correctional Institution, P.O. Box 1000, Otisville, New York,  
18 10963.

19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that:

20 4. The Clerk shall issue a summons upon Defendants and forward it to  
21 Plaintiff along with blank U.S. Marshal Form 285s for each Defendant. In addition, the  
22 Clerk shall provide Plaintiff with copies of this Order, his Complaint, and the summons  
23 for purposes of serving each Defendant. Upon receipt of this “IFP Package,” Plaintiff  
24 is directed to complete the USM Form 285s as completely and accurately as possible,  
25 and to return them to the United States Marshal according to the instructions provided  
26 by the Clerk in the letter accompanying his IFP package. Thereafter, the U.S. Marshal  
27 shall serve a copy of the Complaint and summons upon the Defendants as directed by

28 ///

1 Plaintiff on the USM Form 285s. All costs of service shall be advanced by the United  
2 States.

3 5. Plaintiff shall serve upon Defendants or, if appearance has been entered by  
4 counsel, upon Defendants' counsel, a copy of every further pleading or other document  
5 submitted for consideration of the Court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper  
6 to be filed with the Clerk of the Court a certificate stating the manner in which a true and  
7 correct copy of any document was served on Defendants, or counsel for Defendants, and  
8 the date of service. Any paper received by the Court which has not been filed with the  
9 Clerk or which fails to include a Certificate of Service will be disregarded.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11  
12 DATED: July 24, 2014

13   
14 HON. GONZALO P. CURIEL  
15 United States District Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28