to appeal or collaterally attack his sentence and his supervised release violation in his plea agreement, Mr. Avila is now precluded from challenging that sentence or supervised release violation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. *See*, <u>United States v. Abarca</u>, 985 F.2d 1012, 1014 (9th Cir. 1993) (holding that a knowing and voluntary waiver of a statutory right is enforceable).

Moreover, even if Mr. Avila had not expressly waived his right to appeal or collaterally attack his sentence, his petition would still fail. In essence, Mr. Avila requests that his supervised release violation be resolved immediately. However, his supervised release violation is in the Central District of California and not the Southern District. This Court is without jurisdiction over his supervised release. Additionally, his request for a reduction in his sentence because it is "harsh and lengthy" is not sufficient to state a claim under § 2255. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Mr. Avila's Request for Relief is **DENIED**. IT IS SO ORDERED.

6-15-15

date

GORDON THOMPSON, JR. United States District Judge

cc: All parties