4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER

LAURA DIAZ,

CASE NO. 14cv2018-WQH-NLS

Plaintiff,

VS.

ARA, INC., also known as ASSET RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, INC., and DOES 1 through 25

Defendants.

HAYES, Judge:

The matter before the Court is the Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis ("Motion") to Proceed IFP") filed by Plaintiff Laura Diaz. (ECF No. 2).

On August 27, 2014, Plaintiff, represented by Alex Asil Mishiri, Esq. of the Mashiri Law Firm, initiated this action by filing the Complaint (ECF No. 1) and the Motion to Proceed IFP (ECF No. 2). The Complaint alleges violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, the California Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788, 1812, et seq., and violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 277.

Motion to Proceed IFP I.

All parties instituting a civil action, suit, or proceeding in a district court of the United States, other than a petition for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of \$400.00. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); S.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 4.5. An action may proceed

5

6

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25 26

27

28

despite a party's failure to pay only if the party is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). See Rodriguez v. Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999). "To proceed in forma pauperis is a privilege not a right." Smart v. Heinze, 347 F.2d 114, 116 (9th Cir. 1965).

In her affidavit, Plaintiff states that she is employed and her take-home pay is \$1,200, but did not indicate the pay period. (ECF No. 2 at 2). Plaintiff further states that she has received money through Medi-Cal within the past 12 months. *Id.* Plaintiff owns a 2001 BMW X5, which is not financed, a 2014 Harley Davidson motorcycle, and no other assets of value. Id. at 2-3. Plaintiff states that she has a single bank account with a balance of approximately \$200.00. *Id.* Plaintiff has regularly monthly expenses as follows: \$800 per month in rent, \$50 per month in gas and electric, and \$100 per month for her phone. Id. At 3. Plaintiff also has 2 children and contributes 100% in support. Id. at 3.

The Court has reviewed the affidavit and finds that it is sufficient to show that Plaintiff is unable to pay the fees or post securities required to maintain this action. The Court grants the Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

II. **Initial Screening of Complaint**

A complaint filed by any person proceeding in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) is also subject to mandatory review and sua sponte dismissal to the extent it "is frivolous or malicious; fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted; or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii); see Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1126 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc). Upon review of the allegations in the Complaint, the Court finds they are sufficient to satisfy the requirements of section 1915(e)(2)(B). However, Plaintiff is advised that this Order will not be construed as a denial of a 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss or expressing an opinion as to whether the Complaint would survive such a motion. See Teahan v. Wilhelm, 481 F. Supp. 2d 1115, 1119 (S.D. Cal. 2007) ("[T]he sua sponte screening and dismissal procedure is cumulative of, and not a substitute for, any

1	subsequent Rule 12(b)(6) motion that the defendant may choose to bring.").
2	III. Conclusion
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Proceed IFP (ECF No. 2) is
4	GRANTED. The Clerk of Court shall issue a summons and provide Plaintiff with the
5	summons, certified copies of both this Order and the Complaint, and a blank U.S.
6	Marshal Form 285. Plaintiff shall complete the U.S. Marshal Form 285, and forward
7	the Form 285 and the designated copies of this Order and the Complaint to the U.S.
8	Marshal. The U.S. Marshal shall serve a copy of the Complaint and summons upon
9	Defendants as directed by Plaintiff on the U.S. Marshal Form 285.
10	DATED: September 4, 2014
11	William Q. Hayes WILLIAM Q. HAYES
12	United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	