.

| 1<br>2<br>3 |                                                                                                                                                                   | FILED<br>SEP 1 9 2014     |  |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 4           |                                                                                                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 5           |                                                                                                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 6           |                                                                                                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 7           |                                                                                                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 8           | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT                                                                                                                                      |                           |  |
| 9           | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                                                                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 10          | MICHAEL DOUGHTY, an individual,                                                                                                                                   | CASE NO. 14cv2221-WGH-BLM |  |
| 11          | Plaintiff,                                                                                                                                                        | ORDER                     |  |
| 12          | VS.                                                                                                                                                               | •                         |  |
| 13          | DEPARTMENT FO THE NAVY, a Federal agency,                                                                                                                         |                           |  |
| 14          | Defendant.                                                                                                                                                        |                           |  |
| 15          |                                                                                                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 16          | The wetter before the Court is the Ex Darte Mation for Terraneway Destroining                                                                                     |                           |  |
| 17          | The matter before the Court is the Ex Parte Motion for Temporary Restraining<br>Order and Preliminary Injunction filed by Plaintiff Michael Doughty. (ECF No. 3). |                           |  |
| 18          | On September 17, 2014, Plaintiff initiated this action by filing the Complaint.                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 19          | (ECF No. 1). On September 18, 2014, Plaintiff filed the Ex Parte Motion for                                                                                       |                           |  |
| 20<br>21    | Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction. (ECF No. 3). The Motion                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 21<br>22    | requests that the Court "[d]eclare that [the Navy's] de-mobilization and discharge of                                                                             |                           |  |
| 22<br>23    | Plaintiff violates the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution                                                                              |                           |  |
| 23<br>24    |                                                                                                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 24<br>25    |                                                                                                                                                                   |                           |  |
| 23<br>26    | preliminary and permanent injunctions enjoining [the Navy] from de-mobilizing and                                                                                 |                           |  |
| 20          | discharging Plaintiff until he has had a proper opportunity to respond to the periodic                                                                            |                           |  |
| 28          | investigation," and "[o]rder [the Navy] to reinstate Plaintiff to the pre-deployment                                                                              |                           |  |

| 1              | training he was undergoing when his security clearance was revoked without cause."                                                                                                                                                |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2              | (ECF No. 3-1). The Motion is accompanied by Plaintiff's de-mobilization orders,                                                                                                                                                   |  |
| 3              | which he received on September 18, 2014.                                                                                                                                                                                          |  |
| 4              | Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| 5              | (a)(1) The court may issue a preliminary injunction only on notice to the adverse party                                                                                                                                           |  |
| 6<br>7         | (b)(1) The court may issue a temporary restraining order without written or oral notice to the adverse party or its attorney only if:                                                                                             |  |
| 8<br>9         | (A) specific facts in an affidavit or a verified complaint<br>clearly show that immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or<br>damage will result to the movant before the adverse party<br>can be heard in opposition; and        |  |
| 10<br>11<br>12 | (B) the movant's attorney certifies in writing any efforts made to give notice and the reasons why it should not be required.                                                                                                     |  |
| 12             | <ul><li>Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a)(1) &amp; (b)(1).</li><li>To the extent Plaintiff seeks a preliminary injunction, Plaintiff has failed demonstrate that Defendant has received notice of the factual basis for the Motion.</li></ul> |  |
| 13<br>14       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
| 14             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
| 15             | Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a)(1). The docket does not reflect that Defendant has been served.                                                                                                                                             |  |
| 17             | To the extent Plaintiff seeks a temporary restraining order without giving notice to the                                                                                                                                          |  |
| 18             | opposing party, Plaintiff has failed to show "immediate and irreparable injury" that will                                                                                                                                         |  |
| 19             | result "before the adverse party can be heard in opposition," Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)(1)(A), and Plaintiff has failed to "certif[y] in writing any efforts made to give                                                             |  |
| 20             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
| 21             | notice and the reasons why it should not be required." Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)(1)(B).                                                                                                                                               |  |
| 22             | laintiff has failed to comply with the requirements of Rule 65. See Reno Air Racing                                                                                                                                               |  |
| 23             | Ass'n, Inc. v. McCord, 452 F.3d 1126, 1131 (9th Cir. 2006) ("The stringent restrictions                                                                                                                                           |  |
| 24             | imposed by Rule 65 on the availability of ex parte temporary restraining orders reflect                                                                                                                                           |  |
| 25             | the fact that our entire jurisprudence runs counter to the notion of court action taken                                                                                                                                           |  |
| 26             | before reasonable notice and an opportunity to be heard has been granted both sides of                                                                                                                                            |  |
| 27             | a dispute.") (quotation omitted).                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |
| 28             | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for a Temporary                                                                                                                                                             |  |
| -              | Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 1) is DENIED without                                                                                                                                                        |  |

prejudice to Plaintiff filing a proof of service of the Complaint and Motion or providing grounds as to why notice to Defendant should not be required. 9/19/14 DATED: WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge