1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NANETTE CORONADO ESCOBAR,	CASE NO. 14cv2741- LAB (BGS)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
13		RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL
14	CAROLYN W. COLVIN,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	This social security appeal was referred to Magistrate Judge Skomal for a report and	
18	recommendation ("R & R"). Judge Skomal's R & R on the cross motions for summary	
19	judgment recommends that Plaintiff Nanette Coronado Escobar's motion be denied and the	
20	Defendant Commissioner of Social Security's ("Commissioner") motion be granted.	
21	Objections to the R & R were due on January 19, 2016. No objections were filed.	
22	A district court has jurisdiction to review a Magistrate Judge's report and	
23	recommendation on dispositive matters. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). "The district judge must	
24	determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly	
25	objected to." Id. "A judge of the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the	
26	findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). This	
27	section does not require some lesser review by the district court when no objections are filed.	
28	Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). The "statute makes it clear that the district	
	-	1 - 14cv02741-LAB

1	judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection	
2	is made, but not otherwise." United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir.	
3	2003). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R & R and agrees with its rationale and	
4	conclusions.	
5	Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is DENIED , and Defendant's motion for	
6	summary judgment is GRANTED .	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	DATED: January 27, 2016	
9	Lang A. Burny	
10	HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		