

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 LANCE R. MARTIN,

Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 T. HARRINSTON, et al.,

14 Defendants.  
15

Case No.: 14CV2914 BEN (PCL)

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
TRANSCRIPTS AT GOVERNMENT  
EXPENSE**

[Docket No. 28]  
16

17 Lance R. Martin, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a “Motion for  
18 Transcripts at Government Expense.” (Docket No. 28.) However, Plaintiff is not  
19 seeking transcripts. He is requesting “a conformed copy of [his] Second Amended  
20 Complaint . . . with exhibits filed on court record dated May 20, 2015.”<sup>1</sup> (Id.)

21 Plaintiff was allowed to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”) pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
22 § 1915(a). However, his initial complaint, First Amended Complaint, and SAC were  
23 each dismissed for failing to state a claim. (Docket Nos. 3, 17, 22.) The SAC was  
24 dismissed without leave to amend based on futility and Plaintiff filed an appeal. (Docket  
25

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff’s SAC, including exhibits, is approximately 130 pages.


1 Nos. 22, 24.) Plaintiff indicates that he needs a copy of the SAC for purposes of quoting  
2 from it in his appellate brief. However, it is not clear why Plaintiff does not have a copy  
3 of his own pleading or why the Court should provide a copy at government expense.

4 Generally, a plaintiff's IFP status does not authorize the court to "to commit  
5 federal monies for payment of the necessary expenses in a civil suit brought by an  
6 indigent litigant." *Tabron v. Grace*, 6 F.3d 147, 158-59 (3d Cir. 1993); see also *United*  
7 *States v. MacCollom*, 426 U.S. 317, 321 (1976) (discussing free transcripts and noting the  
8 established rule that "expenditure of public funds is proper only when authorized by  
9 Congress"); *Tedder v. Odel*, 890 F.2d 210, 211-12 (9th Cir. 1989) (finding 28 U.S.C. §  
10 1915 does not waive payment of indigent's witness fees). It appears that Plaintiff is  
11 simply attempting to obtain a free copy of his own document without any explanation  
12 why he should not pay for the copy or why he did not retain a copy of his own pleading.  
13 However, Plaintiff is not entitled to "have documents copied and returned to him at  
14 government expense." *In re Richard*, 914 F.2d 1526, 1527 (6th Cir. 1990).

15 Plaintiff's Motion is **DENIED**.

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17 Dated: December 16, 2015

18   
19 Hon. Roger T. Benitez  
20 United States District Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27