| 1  |   |   |
|----|---|---|
| 2  |   |   |
| 3  |   |   |
| 4  |   |   |
| 5  |   |   |
| 6  |   |   |
| 7  |   |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |
| 9  | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
| 10 | SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE   | Case No. 15-cv-226-BAS-DHB  |
| 11 | COMMISSION,   |   |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  | ORDER GRANTING FIRST<br>INTERIM APPLICATIONS FOR<br>DAVMENT OF FEES AND |
| 13 | v.  | PAYMENT OF FEES AND<br>REIMBURSEMENT OF<br>EXPENSES                     |
| 14 | TOTAL WEALTH  | EAFENDED  |
| 15 | MANAGEMENT, INC., et al.,<br>Defendants.  |   |
| 16 | Defendants.   |   |
| 17 |   |   |
| 18 |   |   |
| 19 | Pending before the Court in this  | Securities and Exchange Commission                                      |
| 20 | ("SEC") enforcement action are the first interim applications for fees and expenses           |   |
| 21 | of (1) Kristen A. Janulewicz (the "Receiver"), the Court-appointed permanent                  |   |
| 22 | receiver for Defendant Total Wealth Management Inc. and its subsidiaries and                  |   |
| 23 | affiliates (the "Receivership Entities") and (2) the Receiver's counsel, Allen Matkins        |   |
| 24 | Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP ("Allen Matkins"). (ECF No. 51.) The                         |   |
| 25 | applications cover the period February 4, 2015 through June 30, 2015 (the                     |   |
| 26 | "Application Period") and seek interim approval of \$195,832.90 in fees incurred by           |   |
| 27 | the Receiver and \$252,037.35 and \$17,081.93 in fees and costs respectively incurred         |   |
| 28 | by Allen Matkins. <i>Id.</i> The SEC has reviewed the fee applications and supports the $-1-$ |   |

12

3

request for interim payment in the amounts requested. (ECF No. 54.)

I. DISCUSSION

## A. Legal Standard

4 "A receiver appointed by a court who reasonably and diligently discharges his 5 duties is entitled to be fairly compensated for services rendered and expenses 6 incurred." SEC v. Byers, 590 F.Supp.2d 637, 644 (S.D.N.Y. 2008). This entitlement 7 to reasonable compensation extends to the professionals employed by the receiver. See Drilling & Exploration Corp. v. Webster, 69 F.2d 416, 418 (9th Cir. 1934). The 8 9 amount of compensation to be awarded is firmly within the discretion of the district 10 court, and generally is a charge upon the property or funds in receivership. Gaskill v. 11 Gordon, 27 F.3d 248, 251, 253 (7th Cir. 1994). In determining the reasonableness of 12 fees and costs requested, the court considers the time records presented, the quality 13 of the work performed, the complexity of the problems faced, and the benefits to the 14 receivership estate. SEC v. Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, Inc., 364 F.Supp. 1220, 1222 15 (S.D.N.Y. 1973). The receiver bears the burden to demonstrate to the court 16 entitlement to payment of fees and costs in the amount requested. See 65 Am. Jur. 17 2d, *Receivers* § 228 (2d ed. Feb. 2016 update). Finally, in a securities receivership, 18 "[o]pposition or acquiescence by the SEC to the fee application will be given great 19 weight." Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, 364 F.Supp. at 1222.

20

## **B.** Receiver's Request for Fees

21 The Receiver's request for \$195,832.90 in fees incurred during the Application 22 Period includes the fees of associated professionals who have worked with her on 23 behalf of the Receivership Entities. During the Application Period, the Receiver and 24 her staff worked a total of 1,014.6 hours on behalf of the Receivership Entities, 25 including tasks such as document review, financial analysis and forensic accounting, 26communication and interviews with investors and witnesses, the takeover of property 27 on behalf of the receivership entities, and meeting and coordinating actions with the 28 SEC. (ECF No. 51, Attach. 3.) The average hourly rate ranged from \$65 for

-2-

administrative tasks to \$321 for financial analysis, with a weighted average rate of
 \$193 per hour. (ECF No. 51, Attach. 7.) The Receiver herself worked at an hourly
 rate of \$270. (ECF No. 51, Attach. 5.) All travel time was charged at half the hourly
 rate or written off entirely. *Id*.

5 The Court finds the Receiver's request for \$195,832.90 in fees incurred during 6 the Application Period to be reasonable. All fees are meticulously documented and 7 presented in such a way that the Court could clearly evaluate the tasks performed, 8 hours expended, the hourly rate for each task, and the total fees incurred in each 9 category. (ECF No. 51, Attach. 5–7.) The Receiver's hourly rate of \$270, and the 10 weighted average rate of \$193 per hour, are eminently reasonable. The Receiver has 11 made substantial progress in identifying and recovering receivership assets, including 12 the recovery of approximately \$3.2 million. (ECF No. 51, Attach. 3, 8:1–24.) In light 13 of the complexity of the matter and the need for immediate action to prevent the 14 dissipation of assets, the number of hours expended during the Application Period is 15 reasonable. In addition, the SEC's stated support for the Receiver's request weighs 16 in favor of finding the fee application reasonable. Accordingly, the Court approves, 17 on an interim basis, the Receiver's request for \$195,832.90 in fees incurred during 18 the Application Period, and authorizes the payment, on an interim basis, of 90% of 19 the fees incurred, in the amount of \$176,249.61.

20

## C. Allen Matkins' Request for Fees and Expenses

21 During the Application Period, Allen Matkins spent a total of 566.5 hours 22 working on behalf of the Receivership Entities, at a weighted average rate of \$444.90 23 per hour, for fees totaling \$252,037.35. (ECF No. 51, Attach. 9, 1:10–13.) In addition, 24 Allen Matkins incurred \$17,081.93 in expenses. (Id.) The firm performed a wide 25 range of tasks assisting the Receiver during the Application Period, including drafting 26and submitting briefing papers, preparing the Receiver's Initial Report and 27 Recommendations and Petition for Further Instructions, investigating the 28 complicated web of companies in which Defendants invested through participation

-3-

in various funds, and reviewing the extent of the Receivership Entities' interest in
Metropolitan Coffee and Concession Company, LLC ("Metro Coffee") and
negotiating the terms of Metro Coffee's sale and the turnover of \$260,000 in surplus
proceeds to the Receiver. (ECF No. 51, Attach. 9.) These efforts resulted in tangible
benefits to Defendants' clients, including recovery of approximately \$3.2 million in
receivership assets as described above.

7 The Court finds Allen Matkins' request for fees and costs to be reasonable. 8 Allen Matkins is a well-known firm with extensive experience in federal 9 receiverships, and the firm's billing rates are comparable to the usual fees for 10 similarly complex services in the community. The detailed time records submitted 11 indicate that the firm staffed the tasks performed appropriately and efficiently based 12 on the expertise required. (ECF No. 51, Attach. 9–13.) The amount requested in fees 13 also reflects Allen Matkins' agreement to discount its ordinary billing rates on this 14 matter by 10%, and to not bill travel time in connection with this matter. (ECF No. 15 21, 9:9–17.) As explained above, the firm's efforts have substantially benefited the 16 Receivership Entities in the form of recovered assets. And finally, as in the case of 17 the Receiver's fee application, the Court ascribes great weight to the SEC's support 18 of Allen Matkins' request for fees and reimbursement of expenses as reasonable. 19 (ECF No. 54.) Accordingly, the Court approves, on an interim basis, Allen Matkins' 20 request for \$252,037.35 in fees incurred during the Application Period, and 21 authorizes the payment, on an interim basis, of 80% of the fees incurred, in the 22 amount of \$201,629.88. In addition, the firm's request for reimbursement of expenses 23 in the amount of \$17,081.93 is approved as reasonable.

24

28

## **II. CONCLUSION & ORDER**

For the reasons set forth above, the Court GRANTS, on an interim basis, the
applications for fees and reimbursement of expenses for the period February 4, 2015
through June 30, 2015 as follows:

- 1. The Court APPROVES the Receiver's fees, in the amount of \$195,832.90;
  - -4-

| 1  | 2. The Court AUTHORIZES the Receiver to pay herself 90% of approved        |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | fees, or \$176,249.61, from the assets of the Receivership Entities, on an |  |
| 3  | interim basis;   |  |
| 4  | 3. The Court APPROVES Allen Matkins' fees, in the amount of \$252,037.35;  |  |
| 5  | 4. The Court AUTHORIZES and DIRECTS the Receiver to pay Allen              |  |
| 6  | Matkins 80% of approved fees, or \$201,629.88, from the assets of the      |  |
| 7  | Receivership Entities, on an interim basis;                                |  |
| 8  | 5. The Court APPROVES Allen Matkins' request for reimbursement of costs,   |  |
| 9  | totaling \$17,081.93, and AUTHORIZES and DIRECTS the Receiver to           |  |
| 10 | reimburse Allen Matkins for such costs in full.                            |  |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |
| 12 | DATED: February 24, 2016   |  |
| 13 | Cimtua Bashant   |  |
| 14 | Hon. Cynthia Bashant<br>United States District Judge                       |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |
| 28 | - 5 -  |  |
|    |  |  |