NeSmith v. 0	þ	ounty of San Diego et al
		, ,

1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	CHASSIDY NESMITH, individually and as Guardian ad Litem on behalf of	Case No.: 15-CV-629 JLS (AGS)					
12	S.K.S.N., and as Successor in Interest to	ORDER GRANTING JOINT					
13	KRISTOPHER SCOTT NESMITH,	MOTION TO CONTINUE DEADLINES AND HEARING					
14	Plaintiffs,	FOR MOTIONS IN LIMINE					
15	V.	(ECF No. 171)					
16	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; WILLIAM D. GORE, individually; and DOES 1–100,						
17	inclusive,						
18	Defendants.						
19	I						
20							
21	Presently before the Court is the Parties' Joint Motion to Continue Deadlines and						
22	Hearing for Motions in Limine ("Joint Mo	t.," ECF No. 171). The parties request the					

extension "[i]n light of the pandemic, and the uncertainty of a trial date." *Id.* at 1.
Good cause appearing, the Court **GRANTS** the Joint Motion. As requested by the

Good cause appearing, the Court **GRANTS** the Joint Motion. As requested by the Parties, the deadline for motions in limine is **CONTINUED** to <u>June 3, 2021</u>, and the ///

1		CONTINUED to June 24, 2021.	The hearing is
2	CONTINUED to July 29, 2021, at 1	<u>1:30 p.m.</u>	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: February 19, 2021	Janis L. Sammartino	
4	Dated. Teoruary 19, 2021	Hon. Janis L. Sammartino	
5		VUnited States District Judge	
6 7			
7 8			
8 9			
10			
10			
12			
12			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			