

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 LAURA ALEXIS, an individual,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 JAMES B. ROGERS, an individual;  
15 GLADYS HOLDINGS, LLC, a New  
16 York Limited Liability Corporation;  
17 BEELAND INTERESTS, INC., a  
18 Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 - 50,  
19 inclusive,  
20 Defendant.

Case No.: 15cv691-CAB-BLM

**ORDER: (1) ADOPTING REPORT  
AND RECOMMENDATION [Doc.  
No. 103]; and (2) GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR  
TERMINATING SANCTIONS  
AGAINST PLAINTIFF [Doc. No. 99]**

20 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of  
21 Magistrate Barbara L. Major, filed on May 12, 2017, recommending that the Court grant  
22 Defendants' motion for terminating sanctions against Plaintiff. [Doc. No. 103.]

23 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) set forth a district  
24 court's duties in connection with a magistrate judge's report and recommendation. The  
25 district court must "make a de novo determination of those portion of the report to which  
26 objection is made," and "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings  
27 or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also*  
28

1 *United States v. Raddatz*, 447 U.S. 667, 673-76 (1980); *United States v. Remsing*, 874  
2 F.2d 614, 617 (9th Cir. 1989). However, in the absence of timely objection, the Court  
3 “need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to  
4 accept the recommendation.” Fed.R.Cvi.P. 72 advisory committee’s note (citing  
5 *Campbel v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 501 F.2d 196, 206 (9th Cir. 1974)); *see also United States v.*  
6 *Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)( “[T]he district judge must review the  
7 magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not  
8 otherwise.”).

9 Here, neither party has timely filed objections to Magistrate Judge Major’s R&R.  
10 [See Doc. No. 103 at 21 (objections due by May 26, 2017).] Having reviewed the R&R,  
11 the Court finds that it is thorough, well-reasoned, and contains no clear error.  
12 Accordingly, the Court hereby: (1) **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Major’s Report and  
13 Recommendation; and (2) **GRANTS** Defendants’ motion for terminating sanctions  
14 against Plaintiff.

15 The complaint is **HEREBY DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. The Clerk shall  
16 close the case.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Dated: May 30, 2017



19  
20 Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28