1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	Ralph HUNTZINGER, et al.,	Case No.: 15-cv-1146-WQH
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER re PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS
10	v.	TO COMPEL (ECF No. 146) AND TO SEAL (ECF No. 144)
11	AQUA LUNG, et al.,	2212 (2011(W111)
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	Plaintiffs' motion to compel (ECF No. [146]) is granted in part and denied in part as	
15	stated on the record. (CD# 2/6/2018 AGS 18:3:59-4:41.) By February 23, 2018, defendant	
16	Suunto Oy must disclose any supplemental responsive documents and, if any responsive	
17	documents have been destroyed or are no longer in existence, it must verify which	
18	documents are destroyed or missing and the reasons therefor.	
19	Additionally, Suunto Oy may provide supplemental briefing—not to exceed two	
20	pages, excluding exhibits—by February 16, 2018, describing with particularity what good	
21	cause exists to seal portions of plaintiffs' motion and exhibits. See Kamakana v. City of	
22	Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006).	
23		
24	Dated: February 6, 2018	100
25	_	
26		on. Andrew G. Schopler nited States Magistrate Judge
27		Switt Hagistate taage