1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	TEXTRON FINANCIAL CORP.,	CASE NO. 15cv1678-LAB (AGS)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
12	VS.	WITHDRAW
13	MICHAEL S. GALLEGOS,	
14	Defendant.	
15	Counsel for Michael Gallegos filed a pro forma motion asking the Court to approve	
16	their withdrawal from this action and allow Gallegos to proceed pro se. "The decision to	

grant or deny a motion for withdrawal is within the court's sound discretion, and courts 17 consider the following factors: (1) the reasons why withdrawal is sought; (2) the prejudice 18 19 withdrawal may cause to other litigants; (3) the harm withdrawal might cause to the administration of justice; and (4) the degree to which withdrawal will delay the resolution of 20 21 the case." Light Salt Investments, LP v. Fisher, 2013 WL 12121255, at \*1 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 22 15, 2013). Gallegos's counsel hasn't provided any reason why the Court should permit 23 withdrawal. And the Court doesn't see any reason to allow withdrawal three years into this action with multiple motions pending, upcoming hearings, discovery requests, and serious 24 allegations of fraud and evasion at stake. The motion is **DENIED**. An order on Judge 25 26 Schopler's R&R concerning Dkt. 246 will follow.

27

IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 Dated: January 3, 2018

HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS United States District Judge