

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 GREGORY FLETCHER
12 C-41111,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 C/O QUIN; C/O LOPEZ; SERGEANT
16 STRICKLAND; C/O ROMERO; C/O
17 GALVAN; C/O GRISSON; C/O
18 SORRANNO; CAPTAIN SANCHEZ,

19 Defendants.
20
21
22

Case No.: 3:15-cv-02156-GPC-NLS

ORDER:

**1) GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO PROCEED *IN FORMA
PAUPERIS* PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C.
§ 1915(a) (ECF No. 8)**

AND

**2) DIRECTING U.S. MARSHAL TO
EFFECT SERVICE OF SUMMONS
AND COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO
28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)
AND Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(c)(3)**

23 Gregory Fletcher ("Plaintiff"), currently incarcerated at Salinas Valley State Prison
24 located in Soledad, California, and proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights complaint
25 ("Compl.") pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (ECF No. 1). Before the Court could conduct
26 the required sua sponte screening, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC")
27 which is the operative pleading. Plaintiff claims his civil rights were violated when he

1 was housed at the Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility in 2014 and 2015. (See FAC
2 at 1.)

3 Plaintiff did not prepay the civil filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); instead,
4 he has filed a Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (“IFP”) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)
5 (ECF No. 8).

6 **II. Plaintiff’s Motion to Proceed IFP**

7 All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the
8 United States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of
9 \$400. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a).¹ An action may proceed despite a plaintiff’s failure to
10 prepay the entire fee only if he is granted leave to proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
11 1915(a). See *Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493 F.3d 1047, 1051 (9th Cir. 2007); *Rodriguez v.*
12 *Cook*, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999). However, if a prisoner, like Plaintiff, is granted
13 leave to proceed IFP, he remains obligated to pay the entire fee in “increments,” see
14 *Williams v. Paramo*, 775 F.3d 1182, 1185 (9th Cir. 2015), regardless of whether his action
15 is ultimately dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1) & (2); *Taylor v. Delatoore*, 281 F.3d
16 844, 847 (9th Cir. 2002).

17 Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, as amended by the Prison Litigation Reform Act (“PLRA”),
18 a prisoner seeking leave to proceed IFP must submit a “certified copy of the trust fund
19 account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the six-month period
20 immediately preceding the filing of the complaint.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2); *Andrews v.*
21 *King*, 398 F.3d 1113, 1119 (9th Cir. 2005). From the certified trust account statement, the
22 Court assesses an initial payment of 20% of (a) the average monthly deposits in the account
23 for the past six months, or (b) the average monthly balance in the account for the past six
24

25
26 ¹ In addition to the \$350 statutory fee, all parties filing civil actions on or after May 1, 2013, must pay
27 an additional administrative fee of \$50. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) (Judicial Conference Schedule of Fees,
District Court Misc. Fee Schedule) (eff. May 1, 2013). However, the additional \$50 administrative fee
is waived if the plaintiff is granted leave to proceed IFP. *Id.*

1 months, whichever is greater, unless the prisoner has no assets. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1);
2 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4). The institution having custody of the prisoner then collects
3 subsequent payments, assessed at 20% of the preceding month’s income, in any month in
4 which the prisoner’s account exceeds \$10, and forwards those payments to the Court until
5 the entire filing fee is paid. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

6 In support of his IFP Motion, Plaintiff has now submitted a certified copy of his trust
7 account statement pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and S.D. Cal. CivLR 3.2. *Andrews*,
8 398 F.3d at 1119. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff’s trust account statement, but it shows
9 that he has a current available balance of zero. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4) (providing that
10 “[i]n no event shall a prisoner be prohibited from bringing a civil action or appealing a civil
11 action or criminal judgment for the reason that the prisoner has no assets and no means by
12 which to pay the initial partial filing fee.”); *Taylor*, 281 F.3d at 850 (finding that 28 U.S.C.
13 § 1915(b)(4) acts as a “safety-valve” preventing dismissal of a prisoner’s IFP case based
14 solely on a “failure to pay . . . due to the lack of funds available to him when payment is
15 ordered.”).

16 Therefore, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff’s Motion to Proceed IFP (**ECF No. 8**) and
17 assesses no initial partial filing fee per 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). However, the entire \$350
18 balance of the filing fees mandated will be collected by the California Department of
19 Corrections and Rehabilitation (“CDCR”) and forwarded to the Clerk of the Court pursuant
20 to the installment payment provisions set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

21 **III. Initial Screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and § 1915A**

22 Notwithstanding Plaintiff’s IFP status or the payment of any partial filing fees, the
23 PLRA also obligates the Court to review complaints filed by all persons proceeding IFP
24 and by those, like Plaintiff, who are “incarcerated or detained in any facility [and] accused
25 of, sentenced for, or adjudicated delinquent for, violations of criminal law or the terms or
26 conditions of parole, probation, pretrial release, or diversionary program,” “as soon as
27 practicable after docketing.” *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2) and 1915A(b). Under these

1 statutes, the Court must sua sponte dismiss complaints, or any portions thereof, which are
2 frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim, or which seek damages from defendants who are
3 immune. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b); *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122,
4 1126-27 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (§ 1915(e)(2)); *Rhodes v. Robinson*, 621 F.3d 1002, 1004
5 (9th Cir. 2010) (discussing 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)).

6 All complaints must contain “a short and plain statement of the claim showing that
7 the pleader is entitled to relief.” Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(a)(2). Detailed factual allegations are not
8 required, but “[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere
9 conclusory statements, do not suffice.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (citing
10 *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)). “Determining whether a
11 complaint states a plausible claim for relief [is] . . . a context-specific task that requires the
12 reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense.” *Id.* The “mere
13 possibility of misconduct” falls short of meeting this plausibility standard. *Id.*; *see also*
14 *Moss v. U.S. Secret Service*, 572 F.3d 962, 969 (9th Cir. 2009).

15 “When there are well-pleaded factual allegations, a court should assume their
16 veracity, and then determine whether they plausibly give rise to an entitlement to relief.”
17 *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 679; *see also Resnick v. Hayes*, 213 F.3d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 2000)
18 (“[W]hen determining whether a complaint states a claim, a court must accept as true all
19 allegations of material fact and must construe those facts in the light most favorable to
20 the plaintiff.”); *Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (noting that §
21 1915(e)(2) “parallels the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6)”).

22 However, while the court “ha[s] an obligation where the petitioner is pro se,
23 particularly in civil rights cases, to construe the pleadings liberally and to afford the
24 petitioner the benefit of any doubt,” *Hebbe v. Pliler*, 627 F.3d 338, 342 & n.7 (9th Cir.
25 2010) (citing *Bretz v. Kelman*, 773 F.2d 1026, 1027 n.1 (9th Cir. 1985)), it may not “supply
26 essential elements of claims that were not initially pled.” *Ivey v. Board of Regents of the*
27 *University of Alaska*, 673 F.2d 266, 268 (9th Cir. 1982).

1 As currently pleaded, the Court finds allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint which are
2 sufficient to survive the sua sponte screening required by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2) and
3 1915A(b). Accordingly, the Court will direct the U.S. Marshal to effect service on
4 Plaintiff's behalf. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) ("The officers of the court shall issue and serve
5 all process, and perform all duties in [IFP] cases."); Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(c)(3) ("[T]he court may
6 order that service be made by a United States marshal or deputy marshal . . . if the plaintiff
7 is authorized to proceed *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.").

8 **III. Conclusion and Order**

9 Good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

10 1. Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) (**ECF No.**
11 **8**) is **GRANTED**.

12 2. The Secretary of the CDCR, or his designee, shall collect from Plaintiff's
13 prison trust account the \$350 filing fee owed in this case by collecting monthly payments
14 from the account in an amount equal to twenty percent (20%) of the preceding month's
15 income and forward payments to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in the account
16 exceeds \$10 in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). **ALL PAYMENTS SHALL BE**
17 **CLEARLY IDENTIFIED BY THE NAME AND NUMBER ASSIGNED TO THIS**
18 **ACTION.**

19 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order on Scott
20 Kernan, Secretary, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box
21 942883, Sacramento, California, 94283-0001.

22 ///

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that:

2 4. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to issue a summons as to Plaintiff’s First Amended
3 Complaint (ECF No. 6) upon Defendants and forward it to Plaintiff along with blank U.S.
4 Marshal Form 285s for each named Defendant. In addition, the Clerk is directed provide
5 Plaintiff with a certified copy of this Order and a certified copy of his First Amended
6 Complaint (ECF No. 6) and the summons so that he may serve each named Defendant.
7 Upon receipt of this “IFP Package,” Plaintiff is directed to complete the Form 285s as
8 completely and accurately as possible, and to return them to the United States Marshal
9 according to the instructions provided by the Clerk in the letter accompanying his IFP
10 package.


11 5. Upon receipt, the U.S. Marshal is **ORDERED** to serve a copy of the First
12 Amended Complaint and summons upon the named Defendants as directed by Plaintiff on
13 the USM Form 285s. All costs of service will be advanced by the United States. *See* 28
14 U.S.C. § 1915(d); Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(c)(3).

15 6. Defendants are thereafter **ORDERED** to reply to Plaintiff’s First Amended
16 Complaint within the time provided by the applicable provisions of Federal Rule of Civil
17 Procedure 12(a). *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(g)(2) (while a defendant may occasionally be
18 permitted to “waive the right to reply to any action brought by a prisoner confined in any
19 jail, prison, or other correctional facility under section 1983,” once the Court has
20 conducted its sua sponte screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and § 1915A(b), and
21 thus, has made a preliminary determination based on the face on the pleading alone that
22 Plaintiff has a “reasonable opportunity to prevail on the merits,” the defendant is required
23 to respond).

24 7. Plaintiff must serve upon the Defendants or, if appearance has been entered
25 by counsel, upon Defendants’ counsel, a copy of every further pleading or other document
26 submitted for consideration by the Court. Plaintiff must include with the original paper to
27 be filed with the Clerk of the Court, a certificate stating the manner in which a true and

1 correct copy of the document was served on the Defendants, or counsel for Defendants,
2 and the date of that service. Any paper received by the Court which has not been properly
3 filed with the Clerk, or which fails to include a Certificate of Service, may be disregarded.
4

5 Dated: February 2, 2016


6 Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27