

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 Thomas MCCOY, on behalf of himself
and all other similarly situated,
5
6 Plaintiff,
7
8 v.
9 NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC,
Defendant.


Case No.: 15-cv-02366-DMS-AGS

**ORDER PROVISIONALLY
GRANTING MOTIONS TO SEAL
(ECF Nos. 80, 86, 93, 95, and 97)**

10 Plaintiffs and defendant filed several motions to seal briefing related to plaintiffs'
11 motion for sanctions (ECF No. 81) and defendant's motion to continue discovery (ECF
12 No. 82). Both parties seek to seal their motions in their entirety, including exhibits, solely
13 on the basis that defendant marked the information as "confidential" during discovery. This
14 Court previously made clear that this is an insufficient particularized showing for
15 establishing good cause to seal an exhibit, let alone an entire discovery motion. (*See* ECF
16 No. 32 (citing *Kamakana v. City of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) ("[A]
17 particularized showing under the 'good cause' standard of Rule 26(c) will 'suffice[] to
18 warrant preserving the secrecy of sealed discovery material attached to non-dispositive
19 motions.'" (citation omitted))).

20 Because defendant designated the information confidential, defendant may file a
21 brief by December 8, 2017, not to exceed five pages, explaining with specificity what, if
22 any, good cause exists to seal the motions and attached exhibits. In the absence of such a
23 showing, the Court intends to direct the Clerk to place the documents on the docket without
24 restriction.

25 Dated: November 29, 2017

26 
27 Hon. Andrew G. Schopler
28 United States Magistrate Judge