

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN E. RILEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

S. KERNAN, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 16cv405-MMA-LL

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
DENYING IN PART EX PARTE
APPLICATION TO CHANGE TIME
TO FILE DISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL
MOTIONS**

[ECF No. 94]

On June 18, 2021, Defendants filed an Ex Parte Application asking the Court to change the time to file dispositive pretrial motions, including motions for summary judgment and motions addressing Daubert issues, by three months, from June 21, 2021 to September 21, 2021. ECF No. 94 at 2. Plaintiff has not filed an opposition. See Docket. The current Scheduling Order provides that “[a]ll dispositive pretrial motions, including motions for summary judgment and motions addressing Daubert issues, must be filed by June 21, 2021.” ECF No. 68. In the Declaration of Michael J. Quinn in Support of Defendant’s Ex Parte Application to Change Time to File Dispositive Pretrial Motions, Mr. Quinn states that he was reassigned to this case as counsel for Defendants on June 11, 2021.

1 ECF No. 94-1, ¶ 3. Mr. Quinn further states that he “intend[s] to file a dispositive motion
2 on behalf of Defendants, but need[s] additional time to, among other things, review
3 [Plaintiff Mr.] Riley’s file and related documents, and consult with Defendants and prison
4 staff that are familiar with Riley’s claims in order to obtain declarations and documents
5 from them.” Id. ¶ 4. Finally, Mr. Quinn states that he “ha[s] not attempted to contact
6 [Plaintiff Mr.] Riley for a stipulated request to extend time because he is a pro-se [sic]
7 prisoner who is not easily available by telephone.” Id. ¶ 5.

8 After considering the Ex Parte Application, the Court finds good cause to grant an
9 extension of time to file pretrial motions but for a shorter amount of time than requested.
10 Accordingly, Defendants’ Ex Parte Application is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED**
11 **IN PART**. Defendants’ deadline to file dispositive pretrial motions, including motions for
12 summary judgment and motions addressing Daubert issues, is hereby extended to
13 **August 20, 2021**. Prior to filing a pretrial motion, counsel for the moving party must obtain
14 a motion hearing date from Judge Anello’s law clerk. The period of time between the date
15 counsel requests a motion date and the hearing date may vary from one district judge to
16 another. Please plan accordingly. Failure to make a timely request for a motion date may
17 result in the motion not being heard.

18 All other requirements and deadlines shall remain as set. ECF No. 68.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated: June 23, 2021

21 
22 _____
23 Honorable Linda Lopez
24 United States Magistrate Judge
25
26
27
28