UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Julia Esther Corvera Hernandez ,

Plaintiff,

v.

Ethicon, Inc. et al,

Defendants.

Case No.: 16-cv-00914-JLS-AGS

ORDER GRANTING THE PARTIES'
JOINT MOTION TO CONTINUE
ENE CONFERENCE, SETTING
RULE 26 COMPLIANCE, AND
NOTICE OF CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE

The Court has reviewed the Joint Motion to Continue ENE Conference. [Doc. 32.] For good cause shown, the court **GRANTS** the motion and **CONTINUES** the Early Neutral Evaluation conference currently scheduled for November 2, 2016, to **December 16, 2016**, at **2:00 p.m.** In the event the case does not settle at the ENE, a **Case Management Conference** under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) is set for **December 16, 2016**, and will be held at the ENE's conclusion.

The following are **mandatory** directions for the parties preparing for the ENE. Absent express Court permission, and notwithstanding the pendency of any motion, counsel must timely comply with the dates and deadlines ordered herein.

1. <u>Purpose of the ENE</u>: The purpose of the ENE is to permit an informal discussion between the attorneys, parties, and the settlement judge of every aspect of the lawsuit in an effort to achieve an early resolution of the case. All ENE discussions will be

informal, off the record, privileged, and confidential. Counsel for any non-English speaking parties is responsible for arranging for the appearance of an interpreter at the ENE.

2. **Personal Appearance of Parties Required**: All parties, adjusters for insured defendants, and other representatives of a party having full settlement authority as explained below, and the principal attorneys responsible for the litigation, must be present **in person** and legally and factually prepared to discuss settlement of the case. Counsel appearing without their clients (whether or not counsel has been given settlement authority) will be cause for immediate imposition of sanctions and may also result in the immediate termination of the ENE. If each of the principal attorneys responsible for the litigation is not listed on the docket as an "ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED," then they each shall enter their appearance on the docket as soon as practicable.

Unless there are extraordinary circumstances, persons required to attend the ENE pursuant to this Order shall not be excused from personal attendance. Failure to appear at the ENE will be grounds for sanctions.

3. **Full Settlement Authority Required**: In addition to counsel who will try the case, a party or party representative with full settlement authority¹ must be present for the ENE. In the case of a corporate entity, an authorized representative of the corporation who is not retained outside counsel must be present and must have discretionary authority to commit the company to pay an amount up to the amount of the Plaintiff's prayer (excluding punitive damages prayers). The purpose of this requirement is to have representatives

¹ "Full settlement authority" means that the individuals at the settlement conference must be authorized to explore settlement options fully and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. *Heileman Brewing Co. v. Joseph Oat Corp.*, 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of a party. *Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc.*, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003). A limited or a sum certain of authority is not adequate. *See Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.*, 270 F.3d 590, 595-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

present who can settle the case during the course of the ENE without consulting a superior. Counsel for a government entity may be excused from this requirement so long as the government attorney who attends the ENE: (1) has primary responsibility for handling the case; and (2) may negotiate settlement offers that the attorney is willing to recommend to the government official having ultimate settlement authority.

4. **ENE Statements Required**: No later than three court days before the ENE, the parties shall lodge statements of five pages or less directly to Judge Schopler's chambers outlining the nature of the case, the claims, the defenses, and the parties' positions regarding settlement of the case. The settlement position must include a specific and current demand or offer addressing all relief or remedies sought. If a specific demand or offer cannot be made at the time the brief is submitted, then the reasons must be stated along with a statement as to when the party will be in a position to state a demand or offer. A general statement that a party will "negotiate in good faith," "offer a nominal cash sum," or "be prepared to make an offer at the conference" is not a specific demand or offer. The statement shall also list all attorney and non-attorney conference attendees for that side, including the name(s) and title(s)/position(s) of the party/party representative(s) who will attend and have settlement authority at the conference.

ENE statements shall be lodged via email at efile_schopler@casd.uscourts.gov. If exhibits are attached and the total submission amounts to more than 20 pages, a hard copy must also be delivered directly to chambers. Whether these statements are submitted confidentially or whether they are served on opposing counsel is within the parties' discretion. Statements of more than five pages may not be considered and may result in sanctions.

5. <u>Submission of Magistrate Judge Consent Form</u>: No later than <u>November 2, 2016</u>, each party must provide Plaintiff's counsel with an executed copy of the attached consent form, titled Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge. If (and only if) **all** parties have consented to the reference to a Magistrate Judge, the Court prefers that Plaintiff file the consent form(s) in paper format at the Clerk's

27

28

Office by November 7, 2016, in order to facilitate easy administration. See Local Civil Rule 73.1. If filed after that date, the ENE may be delayed. If the paper format filing reflects consent by all parties, then the form(s) will be forwarded to the assigned district judge for approval. The consent form(s) should not be filed with the court electronically through its Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system. No consent form will be made available, nor will its contents be made known to any judicial officer, unless all parties have consented to the reference to a magistrate judge. The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. Questions related to the consent form(s) should be directed only to the clerk's office at (619) 557-5600. Please do not call chambers' staff with questions related to the consent form(s).

- New Parties Must Be Notified by Plaintiff's Counsel: Plaintiff's counsel will give notice of the ENE and this Order to parties responding to the complaint after the date of this notice.
- Case Management Under the Amended Federal Rules: The parties are 7. ordered to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 and proceed with the initial disclosure process as follows:
 - a. Rule 26(f) Conference: The Rule 26(f) conference shall be completed on or before **December 2, 2016**.
 - b. **Initial Dislcosures**: Initial disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1)(A-D) shall occur on or before **December 9, 2016**.
 - c. Joint Discovery Plan: A Joint Discovery Plan must be filed on the CM/ECF system as well as <u>lodged</u> with Magistrate Judge Schopler by emailing the Plan to efile_schopler@casd.uscourts.gov, on or before **December 12, 2016**. If the Plan with its attachments exceeds 20 pages, a courtesy paper copy of the Plan must be delivered to Judge Schopler's Chambers. In addition to complying with Chambers Rules, the Plan must identify whether and what good cause (specific to this case) exists to modify the Court's tentative schedule of deadlines, which is as follows:

1	i.	Filing of motions to amend pleadings and/or add parties: January 27,
2		<u>2017;</u>
3	ii.	Fact discovery completion: April 7, 2017 ;
4	iii.	Expert witness designations and disclosures: May 12, 2017;
5	iv.	Rebuttal expert witness designations and disclosures: May 26, 2017;
6	v.	Completion of expert discovery: August 10, 2017;
7	vi.	Pretrial motions (inclusive of any motions addressing any Daubert
8		issues): September 11, 2017. Motions in limine are to be filed as
9		directed in the Local Rules, or as otherwise set by the District Judge;
10	vii.	Mandatory Settlement Conference: November 30, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. ;
11	viii.	Mandatory Settlement Conference Briefs: November 22, 2017;
12	ix.	Fedral Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3) disclosures: December 14 ,
13		<u>2017</u> ;
14	X.	Meet and Confer on the PTO: <u>December 21, 2017</u> ;
15	xi.	Draft PTO to defense counsel: December 28, 2017 ;
16	xii.	PTO loding: January 4, 2018;
17	xiii.	Pretrial Conference before the District Judge assigned to the case:
18		<u>January 11, 2018</u> at <u>1:30 p.m.</u>
19	d. <u>Disco</u>	very Limitations: The below-listed discovery limitations apply to each
20	side.	If the parties wish to modify those limitations, they must set forth good
21	cause	for doing so in the Joint Discovery plan.
22	i.	Depositions: No more than 8;
23	ii.	Interrogatories: No more than 25;
24	iii.	Requests to Admit: No more than 25; and
25	iv.	Requests for Production of Documents: No more than 25.
26	8. <u>Requ</u>	ests to Continue or Reschedule an ENE: Local Rule 16.1.c requires
27	that an ENE take p	lace within 45 days of the filing of the first answer. Requests to continue
28	ENEs are granted	rarely and only in extraordinary circumstances. Any request to continue

the ENE will be filed as a joint motion or an ex parte motion, setting forth the extraordinary circumstances. In and of itself, having to travel a long distance to appear in person is not typically sufficient. Continuance requests will **not** be considered unless filed at least five days before the scheduled ENE.

- 9. **Pre-ENE Settlement**: The Court encourages the parties to work on settling the matter before the ENE. In the event that the parties resolve the matter prior to the day of the ENE, the following procedures must be followed before the Court will vacate the ENE and excuse the parties from appearing:
 - a. The parties may file a Joint Motion to Dismiss and separately lodge by email a proposed order to the assigned District Judge.² If a Joint Motion to Dismiss is filed, the Court will vacate the ENE. The parties are encouraged to contact Judge Schopler's Chambers by telephone to notify the Court of their pending Joint Motion.
 - b. If the parties settle more than 24 hours before the ENE but are not able to file a Joint Motion to Dismiss, they must file a Notice of Settlement containing the electronic signatures of counsel for all settling parties and identifying a date by which the Joint Motion to Dismiss will be filed. The parties are encouraged to contact Judge Schopler's Chambers by telephone to notify the Court of their filed Notice of Settlement.
 - c. If the parties settle less than 24 hours before the conference, counsel for the settling parties must **jointly** call chambers and inform the Court of the settlement and receive Court permission not to appear at the ENE.

After reviewing Judge Schopler's Civil Chambers Rules and the assigned District Judge's Chambers Rules, the parties may address appropriate questions regarding this case

² See Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual, United States District Court for the Southern District of California § 2(h), for the chambers' official email address and procedures on emailing proposed orders.

or the mandatory directions set forth above through a joint call to Judge Schopler's chambers at (619) 557-6480.

Dated: October 27, 2016

Hon. Andrew G. Schopler United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Julia Esther Corvera Hernandez , v. Ethicon, Inc. et al,	Plaintiff(s) Defendant(s)	Case No.: 16-cv-00914-JLS-AC NOTICE, CONSENT, AND REFERENCE OF A CIVIL A A MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
to conduct all proceedings in this c of a final judgment. The judgment is like any other judgment of this cour voluntarily consent. You may consent to have your case without adverse substantive conse	ivil action (included may then be appeart. A magistrate just a magistrate graph are referred to a maguences. The narrow in the control of the magistrate in the magistrate of the magistrate in the magistrate of the magistrate in the magistrate of	d States magistrate judge of this couding a jury or nonjury trial) and to dealed directly to the United States coudge may exercise this authority only gistrate judge, or you may withhold me of any party withholding conse	order the entry ourt of appeals by if all parties I your consent
revealed to any judge who may oth		•	
Consent to a magistrate judge's au	thority. The follo	owing parties \square Consent $/\square$ Do	Not Consent*
to have a United States magistrate j of final judgment, and all post-trial	_	proceedings in this case including to	rial, the entry
Printed Names	Signatures of	all parties and counsel for all parties	Dates
	DEDEDEN	ICE ORDER	
	ferred to United Sentry of a final ju	States Magistrate Judge Andrew G. Idgment in accordance with 28 U.S.	-
Date	Uni	ted States District Judge	

^{*} Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 73.1, **if (and only if) all parties have consented** to the reference to a magistrate judge, then Plaintiff shall file the consent form(s) in paper format at the Clerk's Office.