

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CLAUDINE OSGOOD, an individual,
and ANTON EWING, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAIN STREAT MARKETING, LLC,
a Utah limited liability company;
JERROD ROBKER, an individual aka
Jerrod McAllister; Does 1-100, ABC
Corporations 1-100, XYZ, LLC's 1-
100,,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 16cv2415-GPC(BGS)

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENSE
COUNSEL'S MOTION TO
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL**

[Dkt. No. 44.]

Before the Court is defense counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel for Defendants Main Streat Marketing, LLC and Jerrod McAllister aka Jerrod Robker ("Defendants"). (Dkt. No. 44.) No opposition has been filed. Based on the reasoning below, the Court GRANTS defense counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel for Defendants.

"An attorney may not withdraw as counsel except by leave of court." Darby v. City of Torrance, 810 F. Supp. 275, 276 (C.D. Cal. 1992). The trial court has discretion whether to grant or deny an attorney's motion to withdraw in a civil case. See La Grand v. Stewart, 133 F.3d 1253, 1269 (9th Cir. 1998); Stewart v. Boeing Co., No. CV 12-5621 RSWL(AGRx), 2013 WL 3168269, at *1 (C.D. Cal. June 19, 2013).

1 Courts should consider the following factors when ruling upon a motion to withdraw
2 as counsel: (1) the reasons why withdrawal is sought; (2) the prejudice withdrawal may
3 cause to other litigants; (3) the harm withdrawal might cause to the administration of
4 justice; and (4) the degree to which withdrawal will delay the resolution of the case.
5 Curtis v. Illumination Arts, Inc., No. C12-0991JLR, 2014 WL 556010, at *4 (W.D.
6 Wash. Feb. 12, 2014); Deal v. Countrywide Home Loans, No. C09-01643 SBA, 2010
7 WL 3702459, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2010). Local Civil Rule 83.3(f)(3) also
8 provides:

9 Withdrawals. (a) A notice of motion to withdraw as attorney of record
10 must be served on the adverse party and on the moving attorney's
11 client. (b) A declaration pertaining to such service must be filed.
12 Failure to make service as required by this section or to file the
13 required declaration of service will result in a denial of the motion.

14 Local Civil R. 83.3(f)(3). Here, defense counsel has filed a certificate of service which
15 indicates that the motion was served on her clients, Defendants, and Plaintiffs. (Dkt.
16 No. 44-3.) Rule 3-700 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar
17 of California provides that an attorney may request a withdrawal if it is unreasonably
18 difficult for counsel to carry out his employment effectively or breaches an agreement
19 as to expenses or fees. Cal. R. Prof. Conduct 3-700(C).

20 According to the motion to withdraw, defense counsel states that according an
21 engagement agreement between Defendants and defense counsel, Defendants agreed
22 to pay expenses and fees incurred in defending this action; however, they have not paid
23 any of the invoices since the inception of the case. (Dkt. No. 45, Tagvoryan Decl. ¶¶
24 2-4.) In October and November 2016, counsel warned Defendants that their failure to
25 pay outstanding invoices and lack of communication could result in a withdrawal as
26 counsel. (Id. ¶ 5.) In addition since mid-November 2016, Defendants have become
27 increasingly non-communicative and non-responsive to counsel's telephone calls and
28 emails and have now completely stopped responding. (Id. ¶ 6.)

 The Court concludes that defense counsel has demonstrated sufficient reasons
to be relieved as counsel. The Court also concludes that the withdrawal will not

1 prejudice the plaintiff, harm the administration of justice or delay resolution of the
2 case. The case is still in its early stages of litigation.

3 Based on the above, the Court GRANTS defense counsel’s motion to withdraw
4 as counsel for Defendants. While Defendant Jerrod McAllister, an individual, may
5 proceed *pro se*, Defendant Main Street Marketing, LLC, a partnership, may not proceed
6 without counsel. See Rowland v. California Men’s Colony, Unit II Men’s Advisory
7 Council, 506 U.S. 194, 202 (1993) (“lower courts have uniformly held that 28 U.S.C.
8 § 1654, providing that ‘parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally or by
9 counsel,’ does not allow corporations, partnerships, or associations to appear in federal
10 court otherwise than through a licensed attorney.”); see also Eagle Assocs. v. Bank of
11 Montreal, 926 F.2d 1305, 1309-10 (2d Cir.1991) (partnership not allowed to be
12 represented by non-attorney partner); Civil Local Rule 83.3k (“all other parties,
13 including corporations; partnerships and other legal entities may appear in court only
14 through an attorney permitted to practice pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.3.”).

15 Therefore, the Court will allow Main Street Marketing, LLC 30 days to obtain
16 substitute counsel and have counsel file a notice of appearance.¹ Main Street
17 Marketing, LLC is notified that if it fails to obtain new counsel and have counsel file
18 a notice of appearance, it may be subject to default proceedings. See United States v.
19 High Country Broadcasting Co. Inc., 3 F.3d 1244, 1245 (9th Cir. 1993). Mr.
20 McAllister must notify the Court of his currently mailing address within 30 days from
21 the date of this order.

22 ////

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

27 ¹It appears that Plaintiffs, proceeding *pro se*, and Defendants, without their
28 counsel, may have negotiated a settlement amongst themselves. (See Dkt. Nos. 42, 47.)
The Court notes that any dismissal of Defendant Main Street Marketing, LLC must be
executed by an attorney of record. See Rowland, 506 U.S. at 202.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The hearing set for April 7, 2017 shall be vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 27, 2017


HON. GONZALO P. CURIEL
United States District Judge