

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHARLES HOLMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

ESTOCK, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 16cv2458-MMA (BLM)


**ORDER SUA SPONTE GRANTING
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
REPLY BRIEF;**

VACATING MOTION HEARING

On November 4, 2019, the Court granted Plaintiff a second extension of time in which to file his response in opposition to Defendants' pending motion for summary judgment. *See* Doc. No. 102. Upon due consideration, good cause appearing, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants an extension of time in which to file a reply brief in support of their pending motion. Accordingly, Defendants may file their reply brief on or before **November 13, 2019**. Upon completion of the briefing, the Court will take the matter under submission on the briefs, without oral argument, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1.d.1. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b). Accordingly, no appearances will be required, and the hearing previously scheduled for November 18, 2019 is **VACATED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: November 5, 2019



HON. MICHAEL M. ANELLO
United States District Judge