

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ERIC BIDWELL, et al.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16  
17  
18

Case No.: 16-CV-2575 TWR (MSB)

**ORDER (1) DENYING THE  
BIDWELL PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
TO STRIKE DEFENDANTS'  
NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL  
AUTHORITY, AND (2) GRANTING  
BIDWELL PLAINTIFFS LEAVE TO  
FILE A RESPONSE**

(ECF No. 196)


19 Presently before the Court is the Objection to and Motion to Strike Notice of  
20 Supplemental Authority filed by Defendants (“Mot.,” ECF No. 196) filed by Plaintiffs Eric  
21 Bidwell, Michael Feinstein, Azikiwe Franklin, and Jeff Provenzano (the “Bidwell  
22 Plaintiffs”). The Bidwell Plaintiffs request that the Court strike the Notice of Supplemental  
23 Authority filed by Defendants for failure to comply with the Court’s Standing Order for  
24 Civil Cases or, alternatively, permit the Bidwell Plaintiffs to file a sur-reply. (*See* Mot. at  
25 1.)

26 Because the Court granted Defendants leave to file “a single, three-page notice of  
27 supplemental authority addressing the applicability of the *Cervantes* order to their pending  
28 motions for summary judgment,” (*see* ECF No. 192 at 1–2), the Court **OVERRULES** the

1 Bidwell Plaintiffs' objection and **DENIES** the Bidwell Plaintiffs' request to strike  
2 Defendants' Notice of Supplemental Authority. Nonetheless, the Court **GRANTS** the  
3 Bidwell Plaintiffs leave to file a response, not to exceed three (3) pages, to discuss the  
4 distinguishing characteristics of *Cervantes*. *No further briefing on the Cervantes order will*  
5 *be permitted.*

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7  
8 Dated: October 16, 2020



Honorable Todd W. Robinson  
United States District Court

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28