

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 CHARLES E. GRIFFIN, II,
12 Plaintiff,
13 v.
14 RACQUEL E. ZURBANO *et al.*,
15 Defendants.
16
17

Case No.: 16-CV-2715-JLS(WVG)

**ORDER DENYING AS MOOT
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEGAL
PROPERTY**


[Doc. No. 65.]

18
19 Plaintiff's motion to compel the CDCR to grant him access to his legal property is
20 DENIED as moot. Based on the Attorney General's investigation and response (see Doc.
21 No. 67), it appears any lack of access to legal property has been caused by multiple transfers
22 within the facility that houses Plaintiff and that some of these transfers have been at
23 Plaintiff's own request. Additionally, it appears Plaintiff is permitted to keep a reasonable
24 amount of legal property inside his cell, but the remaining property is held in storage and
25 is available to Plaintiff. Additionally, it appears Plaintiff was granted access to stored legal
26 property boxes, but he became upset when an officer searched the boxes for contraband
27 without reading the contents of the box. These are reasonable accommodations in light of
28 the facility's security concerns. Accordingly, the Court finds Plaintiff has not been

1 wrongfully denied access to his legal property as he claims and that any motion based on
2 this incorrect premise is moot.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: May 24, 2018



5
6 Hon. William V. Gallo
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28