

1 Habeas Corpus. The Order stated,

2 In this matter, Petitioner seeks review of an order of removal. The
3 Petitioner seeks “reinstatement of my lawful permanent resident status”
4 and contends that he was wrongfully deported in 1999. (ECF No. 1 at 1,
5 3). The Court concludes that it lacks jurisdiction to consider the instant
6 Petition. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252.

7 (ECF No. 10). On March 29, 2017, a Clerk’s Judgment was issued. (ECF No. 11).

8 In the instant motion, which has now been docketed on the record, Petitioner
9 provides additional material regarding the denial of Petitioner’s application for asylum
10 on August 25, 2016 and the decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals on January
11 18, 2017 affirming that order.¹ (ECF No. 13 at 18-32). Petitioner also references a
12 hearing before the Immigration Judge on January 23, 2017. *Id.* at 6. The motion seeks
13 the same relief as the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus — a review of an order of
14 removal. The Court lacks jurisdiction over the instant matter. 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(5)
15 (“Notwithstanding any other provision of law (statutory or nonstatutory), including
16 section 2241 of Title 28, ... a petition for review filed with an appropriate court of
17 appeals in accordance with this section shall be the sole and exclusive means for
18 judicial review of an order of removal.”); *Martinez v. Napolitano*, 704 F.3d 620, 622
19 (9th Cir. 2012).

20 The “Motion: for Habeas For A Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241”
21 filed by Petitioner is DENIED. (ECF No. 13). The case shall remain closed. The Clerk
22 shall issue a second judgment.

23 DATED: April 25, 2017

24
25
26
27
28

WILLIAM Q. HAYES
United States District Judge

28 ¹ The Government had previously informed the Court of the January 18, 2017
decision by the Board of Immigration Appeals. (ECF No. 8 at 4).