

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ENSOURCE INVESTMENTS LLC, a  
Delaware limited liability company,  
  
Plaintiff,

v.

THOMAS P. TATHAM, an individual;  
MARK A. WILLIS, an individual; PDP  
MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC; a Texas  
limited liability company; TITLE  
ROVER, LLC, a Texas limited liability  
company; BEYOND REVIEW, LLC, a  
Texas limited liability company; IMAGE  
ENGINE, LLC, a Texas limited liability  
company; WILLIS GROUP, LLC, a  
Texas limited liability company;  
HOPEWELL-PILOT PROJECT, LLC, a  
Texas limited liability company; and  
DOES 1-50,  
  
Defendants.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-00079-H-LL


**ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO  
FILE RESPONSE TO FIRST  
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

On February 15, 2019, Plaintiff Ensource Investments, LLC and Defendants Thomas P. Tatham (“Tatham”) and PDP Management Group, LLC (“PDP”) (collectively,

1 “Defendants”) filed a joint motion for extension of time to file an answer. (Doc. No. 112.)  
2 As set forth in the May 1, 2018 order granting in part and denying in part motion to  
3 withdraw, PDP must appear in court through counsel. (Doc. No. 63.) Although Tatham  
4 can sign on his own behalf, as an individual pro se defendant, he cannot sign on behalf of  
5 PDP. The Court finds, however, that an extension of time to file an answer is appropriate  
6 under the circumstances of this case given that the parties are engaged in settlement  
7 negotiations. Accordingly, the Court orders that Defendants’ answer to the first amended  
8 complaint is due on or before **March 1, 2019**.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 DATED: February 19, 2019

11   
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 MARILYN E. HUFF, District Judge  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28