

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE) Case No.: 3:17-cv-01281-CAB-BLM
COMPLAINT OF CANTOR)
ENTERPRISES, INC. dba CALIFORNIA) IN ADMIRALTY
WATERSPORTS; and JOSHUA)
CANTOR, individually)

Plaintiffs-in-Limitation

**ORDER RESTRAINING ALL SUITS,
DIRECTING MONITION TO ISSUE
AND PUBLICATION OF NOTICE**

A Complaint has been filed in the above-captioned court by Plaintiffs-in-Limitation, CANTOR ENTERPRISES INC. doing business as CALIFORNIA WATERSPORTS (hereinafter, referred to as "California Watersports") and JOSHUA CANTOR (jointly hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs-in-Limitation"), in their respective capacities as the owner of any and all Stand Up Paddle Boards (hereinafter "SUPs") rented out by California Watersports in and around April 2015, and as an individual entitled to assert exoneration from, or limitation of, liability, pursuant to the Limitation of Liability Act, 46 U.S.C. § 30501 *et seq.*, and Rule F of the *Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims*, with respect to any

ORDER RESTRAINING ALL SUITS, DIRECTING MONITION TO ISSUE, AND PUBLICATION OF NOTICE

1 and all injuries, losses, damages, or claims arising out of, resulting from, or in any
2 manner connected with, the alleged "Incident" on or about April 25, 2015,
3 purportedly involving a Stand Up Paddle Board ("SUP") occurring on the navigable
4 waters of Agua Hedionda Lagoon, located in or around Carlsbad, California, as set
5 forth and alleged in the Complaint (hereinafter, the "Complaint") filed herein as
6 Document 1.

7 Plaintiffs-in-Limitation will now deposit with this Court, for the benefit of the
8 claimants, the sum equivalent to the Maximum Value of any SUP that may have been
9 rented out by California Watersports in and around the time period of April 2015, in
10 the amount of Five Hundred Eighty Dollars (\$580.00), and will deposit the sum of
11 Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) as security for court costs under Local Civil Rule
12 F.1.

13 It appears that claims have been made, or will be made against Plaintiffs-in-
14 Limitation or the SUP alleged to have been involved in the incident for losses or
15 damages arising out of, or in some manner connected with the matters set forth in the
16 Complaint.

17 The Court, on the motion of Plaintiffs-in-Limitation, now issues the following
18 Orders:

19 1. Pursuant to Rule F(3) of the *Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty*
20 *and Maritime Claims*, except as filed in this proceeding, the commencement or
21 prosecution of any and all suits, actions or legal proceedings of any nature and
22 description, presently ongoing or to be filed in the future, against Plaintiffs-in-
23 Limitation or against the SUP as a result of the alleged April 25, 2015 incident
24 described in the Complaint is enjoined and said proceedings are to be stayed and
25 restrained until the hearing and determination of this proceeding;

1 5. The publication and mailing of the "Notice of Complaint" described
2 herein shall constitute due notice to all persons asserting claims arising out of or in
3 any way relating to that which the Complaint herein seeks exoneration from, or
4 limitation of, liability;

5 6. Service of this Order as a restraining order may be accomplished by
6 mailing a copy of this executed Order to the persons to be restrained, or their
7 attorneys acting on their behalf.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: July 21, 2017



11
12 _____
13 Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo
14 United States District Judge