

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 RUDOLF SHTEYNBERG,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT,

15 Defendant.

Case No.: 17-CV-2149 JLS (KSC)


**ORDER DENYING REQUEST TO  
EXPEDITE**

(ECF No. 10)

16  
17 Presently before the Court is Plaintiff Rudolf Shteynberg's Request to Reorganise  
18 [sic] / Simplify the Process ("Req.," ECF No. 10), which Plaintiff also characterizes as "a  
19 Request to Expedite the Process!," *see id.* at 1. However, as the Court noted in its  
20 November 1, 2021 Notice of Document Discrepancy rejecting Plaintiff's October 22, 2021  
21 "Appointment of Counsel," this case was dismissed and the action closed *more than four*  
22 *years ago*, in February 2018, for failure to prosecute. *See generally* ECF No. 9 (citing ECF  
23 No. 8). Because this case is closed, there is nothing to expedite; accordingly, the Court  
24 **DENIES** Plaintiff's Request. The Court will accept no further filings in this closed matter.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: June 29, 2022

27   
28 Hon. Janis L. Sammartino  
United States District Judge