III: Collins v.	The United States Navy		
1			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	100 0 W 00 W 00 W		
11	JOE E. III: COLLINS,	Case No.: 17cv2451-MMA (BGS)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S	
13	V.	MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	
14	UNITED STATES NAVY,		
15	Defendant.	[Doc. No. 2]	
16			
17			
18	On December 7, 2017, Plaintiff, proceeding <i>pro se</i> , filed this action against the		
19	United States Navy. See Doc. No. 1. Plaintiff simultaneously filed a motion to proceed		
20	in forma pauperis ("IFP"). See Doc. No. 2. For the reasons set forth below, the Court		
21	DENIES Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP.		
22	<u>Discussion</u>		
23	All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the		
24	United States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of		
25	\$400. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a plaintiff's failure to		
26	prepay the entire fee only if he is granted leave to proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §		
27	1915(a). See Rodriguez v. Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999). "To proceed in		
28	forma pauperis is a privilege not a right." Smart v. Heinze, 347 F.2d 114, 116 (9th Cir.		

Doc. 3

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	

28

1965). A party need not be completely destitute to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *Adkins v*. *E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339–40 (1948). But "the same evenhanded care must be employed to assure that federal funds are not squandered to underwrite, at public expense, either frivolous claims or the remonstrances of a suitor who is financially able, in whole or in material part, to pull his own oar." *Temple v*. *Ellerthorpe*, 586 F. Supp. 848, 850 (D.R.I. 1984).

Plaintiff failed to sign the affidavit in support of his IFP application, and therefore has not certified under penalty of perjury that he is unable to pay the costs associated with initiating this action. This alone is sufficient grounds to deny Plaintiff's application. Furthermore, Plaintiff indicates on the application that his average monthly income is approximately \$3,700, but his total monthly expenses are \$90. As such, it does not appear that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief he requests.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP and **DISMISSES** this action without prejudice for failure to prepay the \$400 filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). Plaintiff may re-open his case by paying the \$400 statutory and administrative filing fee within **fourteen (14) days** from the date this Order is filed. If Plaintiff fails to pay the \$400 filing fee within 14 days, this action will remain dismissed without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), and without further Order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: December 13, 2017

HON. MICHAEL M. ANELLO

United States District Judge