

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MORRIS LUIS individually and as
12 successor in interest to JORDAN LUIS,
13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; CASA DE
16 AMPARO, DOES 1 THROUGH 20
17 inclusive, and ESTEE URAM-JARIVS
18 (Nominal Defendant),
Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-0246-CAB-(JMA)

ORDER OF REMAND

19
20 On April 24, 2018, the Court granted Defendant County of San Diego's motion to
21 dismiss all claims against it, including the federal claims upon which jurisdiction rested.
22 [Doc. No. 18.] In the dismissal order, Plaintiff was given up to and including May 15,
23 2018, to file an amended complaint and was required to file a notice of his intention to do
24 so on or before May 1, 2018. [*Id.* at 23] Additionally, Plaintiff was cautioned that his
25 failure to file the required Notice of Intent would result in the Court remanding the
26 remaining state law causes of action against Defendant Casa to state court. [*Id.*]

27 The deadline for Plaintiff to file the required Notice has come and gone and no filing
28 has been entered on the docket. According, the Court hereby **REMANDS** this action back

1 to the San Diego Superior Court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. §
2 1447(c) (“[i]f at any time before final judgment it appears that the district court lacks
3 subject matter jurisdiction, the case shall be remanded.”). The Clerk of the Court shall
4 **CLOSE** the case.

5 It is **SO ORDERED**.

6 Dated: May 4, 2018



Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo
United States District Judge