deLeon et al v. The City of Vista et al

Doc. 76

distribution of proceeds sought by Plaintiffs' counsel in the Motion. (ECF 74.) Plaintiffs have now filed that Supplemental Brief with declarations from each Conservator in which they confirm that they have reviewed, understand, and agree to the distribution of settlement proceeds set forth in the Motion. (ECF 75.)

This case arises from allegations that Thomas deLeon, III was approach by three San Diego County Sheriff's Deputies that ultimately threw him to the ground headfirst resulting in his head hitting the pavement, kicked him, and kneed him in the head, neck, back, and torso. (Id. at $\P 2$.) He suffered visible physical injuries requiring treatment at an emergency, but no further treatment. (Id. at $\P \P 2$ -3.) He later sought mental health care for emotional distress resulting from the incident. (Id. at $\P 4$.)

The case has settled in its entirety for \$250,000. (*Id.* \P 7.) Plaintiffs' request distribution of the settlement as follows:

- a. Gross amount of settlement proceeds for claim: \$ 250,000;
- b. Attorneys' fees: \$87,500, Cost: \$18,451.78;
- [c.] Balance of settlement proceeds available to the Estate of [Thomas deLeon, III,]² through the conservators, after payment of all fee/costs/expenses: \$ 144,048.22.

(ECF 75 \P 7; ECF 75-1 (Decl. of Thomas deLeon, II) \P 3; ECF 75-2 (Declaration of Sally deLeon) \P 3.)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 17.1 settlement of claims in which a minor or incompetent has an interest must be approved by the Court. Here, the Conservators have provided documentation that they have been duly appointed as conservators for the Estate of Thomas deLeon, II by February 28, 2018 Order of the Superior Court because he is substantially unable to manage his finances or resist fraud or undue influence. (ECF 70-1.) Having reviewed the Motion, supporting documents, and declarations, the Court

² Plaintiffs' filing incorrectly identifies Thomas deLeon, III as a plaintiff in this case. However, he is not listed as a Plaintiff in the operative complaint (ECF 30.) Rather, the case was brought on his behalf by the Conservators. (*Id.*)

1	finds, based on its knowledge of the facts of the case that the net amount to be distributed
2	to the Estate of Thomas deLeon, III from the settlement is fair and reasonable considering
3	the facts of the case. See Robidoux v. Rosenberg, 638 F.3d 1177, 1181-82 (9th Cir. 2011)
4	(Explaining the court's duty when claims of minors are settled). Accordingly, the Court
5	approves the following distribution of settlement funds:
6	
7	1. The amount of \$144,048.22 (the balance of settlement proceeds) shall be paid to
8	the estate of Plaintiff Thomas M. deLeon, III, through the conservators, Thomas
9	deLeon, II and Sally deLeon.;
10	2. The amount of \$18,451.78 shall be paid to Brian K. Cline (Cline, APC) as
11	reimbursement of costs;
12	3. The amount of \$87,500.00 shall be paid to Brian K. Cline (Cline, APC) as
13	attorneys' fees.
14	Joint Motion to Dismiss the case shall be filed by <u>August 24, 2020</u> . ³
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	Dated: July 23, 2020
17	Hon. Bernard G. Skomal
18	United States Magistrate Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

³ All remaining dates set in the Scheduling Order were vacated by Judge Miller's February 13, 2020 Order. (ECF 68.)