

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7 REZA PAYDAR *et al.*,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 JAGUAR LAND ROVER NORTH  
11 AMERICA, LLC,

12 Defendant.

Case No.: 19-CV-58-BAS(WVG)


ORDER DENYING SECOND JOINT  
MOTION TO EXTEND EXPERT  
DISCLOSURE DEADLINE

[Doc. No. 27.]

13 For the second time, the parties' jointly move to extend the deadline to exchange  
14 expert disclosures under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2). When the Court granted  
15 the first request on September 12, 2019, the Court expressly admonished that "[n]o other  
16 requests to extend dates to allow the parties to finalize the settlement will be entertained or  
17 granted." (Doc. No. 26.) Yet the parties now seek extension of the same deadline and  
18 proffer "client unavailability and a miscommunication between the parties regarding the  
19 specifics of a settlement offer to repurchase the subject vehicel [sic]" as supposed good  
20 cause. (Doc. No. 27 at 2.) The motion is DENIED not only because the Court previously  
21 placed the parties on notice that no other extensions would be granted, but also because the  
22 proffered bases for the request fall woefully short of good cause. Additionally, the Court  
23 finds the motion is untimely given that it was filed the day before the extended deadline is  
24 set to expire.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 DATED: October 8, 2019

27   
28 Hon. William V. Gallo  
United States Magistrate Judge