

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PIA MCADAMS, on behalf of herself  
and those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC d/b/a  
MR. COOPER, a Delaware limited  
liability company, and DOES 1 through  
10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-2202-L-BLM

**ORDER GRANTING  
NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE  
LLC D/B/A/ MR. COOPER'S EX  
PARTE APPLICATION TO  
ENLARGE THE TIME TO  
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S  
COMPLAINT**

Pending before the Court in this putative class action alleging unlawful foreclosure practices is Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper's ("Nationstar") Ex Parte Application to Enlarge the Time to Respond to the Complaint (doc. no. 8 ("Ex Parte")). Plaintiff filed an opposition and Nationstar replied. For the reasons which follow, the Ex Parte is granted.

Nationstar seeks an extension until December 10, 2020, to investigate the matter before responding to the complaint. Plaintiff opposes (doc. no. 9) claiming prejudice due to a parallel class action pending in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, *Robinson v. Nationstar Mortgage LLC*, case no. 14-cv-03667-TDC ("*Robinson*").

1 A class action settlement has been approved on a preliminary basis in *Robinson*  
2 but has not yet been finally approved. Plaintiff is a member of the class. She received  
3 notice of the *Robinson* class action on October 1, 2020. The date to object or opt out  
4 is November 27, 2020. Plaintiff intends to object to the *Robinson* settlement arguing  
5 its release provision is too broad and ambiguous, as she apparently does not wish the  
6 release to bar her action pending in this Court. The parties in this case disagree about  
7 the effect of the *Robinson* release on Plaintiff's claims in this case, assuming the  
8 release remains a part of the *Robinson* settlement agreement after the final settlement  
9 approval motion is heard. That motion is set for December 10, 2020 in the District  
10 of Maryland. Plaintiff asserts, without explaining, that her claims will be  
11 "threatened" (doc. no. 9 at 3) if Nationstar is granted an extension until December 10  
12 to file a response in this action but would not be threatened if the response is filed on  
13 or before November 27. (*See id.* at 3-4.)

14 It is not apparent from Plaintiff's opposition how she would be prejudiced by  
15 an extension of time for Nationstar to respond to the complaint in this action. On the  
16 other hand, Nationstar has shown good cause pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil  
17 Procedure 6(b) to extend its due date. Accordingly, its Ex Parte application is  
18 GRANTED. No later than December 10, 2020, Nationstar shall file its response to  
19 the Complaint.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21  
22 Dated: November 19, 2020

23   
24 Hon. M. James Lorenz  
25 United States District Judge  
26  
27  
28