1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FRANK J. FERNANDEZ,	Case No.: 3:22-cv-00446-BAS-VET
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO FILE PRIVILEGE LOG
13	v.	AND LODGE DOCUMENTS FOR IN
14	E. DUARTE,	CAMERA REVIEW
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18	Before the Court is Plaintiff Frank Fernandez's ("Plaintiff") Motion to Compel	
19	Discovery. Doc. No. 81 ("Motion"). Defendant E. Duarte ("Defendant") timely opposed	
20	the Motion. Doc. No. 83 ("Opposition"). Defendant invokes the official information	
21	privilege in the Opposition, having withheld materials that are otherwise responsive to	
22	many of Plaintiff's requests for production. <i>Id.</i> at 14–16. Centinela State Prison Litigation	
23	Coordinator N. Telles ("Declarant Telles") filed a supporting declaration, representing that	
24	he or she has reviewed or is familiar with material responsive to Plaintiff's relevant	

Fernandez v. Duarte et al

25

26

27

28

requests for production. Id. at 67. Declarant Telles further represents that producing certain

responsive documents, such as Defendant's personnel file, would present significant safety

and security risks that cannot be mitigated through a protective order. Id. at 68-74.

Defendant's counsel also affirms in a separate declaration that she has diligently reviewed

Doc. 95

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

83-1 at 2.

However, neither the Motion nor the Opposition attach a privilege log describing the responsive materials being withheld. Defendant's counsel briefly references a "supplemental privilege log," see id. at 2, but no privilege log is currently before the Court. Nor does the Court have an adequate understanding of the documents being withheld. Whenever a party withholds discoverable information due to a claim of privilege, they must "describe the nature of the documents, communications, or tangible things not produced or disclosed—and do so in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable other parties to assess the claim." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(A)(ii). Further, when the official information privilege is asserted, the Court "must balance the government's interest in protecting official information from disclosure against the plaintiff's need for the information." Edwards v. Cnty. of L.A., No. CV 08-07428 GAF(SSx), 2009 U.S. LEXIS 114577, at \*2-3 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 9, 2009) (citing Kelly v. City of San Jose, 114 F.R.D. 653, 661 (N.D. Cal. 1987)).

responsive documents and produced all non-privileged documents to Plaintiff. Doc. No.

To adequately assess Defendant's official information privilege claims and conduct the necessary balancing, the Court requires both a privilege log and unredacted copies of the documents withheld for in camera review. See Solomon v. Tapia, No. 1:22-cv-1604-KES-HBK (PC), 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 236148, at \*6-7 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 4, 2024) (requiring submission of unredacted report for *in camera* review and a privilege log in case involving pro se prisoner asserting a First Amendment claim). Therefore, the Court **ORDERS** the following:

- On or before February 3, 2025, Defendant must file on the docket all privilege logs provided to Plaintiff in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(A)(ii).
- On or before February 7, 2025, Defendant must lodge with the Court for in 2. camera review all responsive materials being withheld on the basis of the official information privilege. Defendant must lodge unredacted versions of the materials, either electronically or in paper form. If lodging electronically, Defendant may either e-mail the

materials to <u>efile\_torres@casd.uscourts.gov</u> as PDF attachments or mail a USB-drive with the saved materials.

3. If mailing, Defendant shall address any materials to: Chambers of Magistrate Judge Valerie E. Torres, 333 West Broadway, Suite 420, San Diego, CA 92101. Defendant shall note the case name and number and shall clearly mark as "Confidential Lodgment for In Camera Review/Not for Filing" on the outside of the envelope.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 27, 2025

Honorable Valerie E. Torres United States Magistrate Judge