1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	CRISTIN MORNEAU, individually;	Case No.: 3:22-cv-01861-W-AHG
13	KELLY STRANGE, individually; AND Cristin Morneau and Kelly Strange,	ORDER:
14	jointly as successors-in-interest to	(1) GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO
15	Carolyn A. Morneau and on behalf of the ESTATE OF CAROLYN A. MORNEAU,	EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES,
16	Plaintiffs,	and
17	V.	(2) ISSUING FIRST AMENDED
18	PROTECTIVE LIFE INSURANCE	SCHEDULING ORDER
19	COMPANY,	[ECF No. 26]
20	Defendant.	
21		

Before the Court is the parties' joint motion to amend the scheduling order. ECF No. 26. The parties seek an order from the Court extending certain discovery deadlines by approximately 60 days. *Id*.

Parties seeking to continue deadlines in the scheduling order must demonstrate good
cause. FED. R. CIV. P. 16(b)(4) ("A schedule may be modified only for good cause and with
the judge's consent"); ECF No. 24 at 6 (Scheduling Order, stating that "[t]he dates set forth
herein will not be modified except for good cause shown"); *see also* Chmb.R. at 2 (stating

that any request for continuance requires "[a] showing of good cause for the request").

"Good cause" is a non-rigorous standard that has been construed broadly across procedural and statutory contexts. *Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc.*, 624 F.3d 1253, 1259 (9th Cir. 2010). The good cause standard focuses on the diligence of the party seeking to amend the scheduling order and the reasons for seeking modification. *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992) ("[T]he focus of the inquiry is upon the moving party's reasons for seeking modification. . . . If that party was not diligent, the inquiry should end.") (internal citation omitted). Therefore, "a party demonstrates good cause by acting diligently to meet the original deadlines set forth by the court." *Merck v. Swift Transp. Co.*, No. CV-16-01103-PHX-ROS, 2018 WL 4492362, at *2 (D. Ariz. Sept. 19, 2018).

Here, the parties have represented to the Court that they have been working diligently to abide by the Court's Scheduling Order (ECF Nos. 24). ECF No. 26. The parties represent that both sides have propounded interrogatories, requests for production ("RFP"), and requests for admission ("RFA"). *Id.* at 4. Discovery responses have been served, including several thousand pages of documents. *Id.* The parties "are exploring settlement" and seek an order from the Court extending the fact discovery deadline and discovery dispute notification deadline by approximately 60 days so that they can evaluate the potential for early settlement without having to simultaneously litigate the case and take depositions. *Id.*

The Court appreciates the parties' thorough motion, and that the parties have been working together and have diligently pursued discovery. Thus, the Court finds good cause to **GRANT IN PART**¹ the motion. ECF No. 26. The Court issues the following Amended Scheduling Order:

¹ Though "[t]he parties do not currently anticipate that the requested extension will have any effect on the other dates and deadlines in place in this matter," (ECF No. 26 at 4), due to the length of the extension, certain (not all) pretrial deadlines have been modified.

1. Given that the parties are exploring settlement, the Court finds that a settlement conference will be beneficial. As such, a Settlement Conference shall be conducted on June 5, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. via videoconference before Magistrate Judge Allison H. Goddard.² The Court requires the personal attendance of all parties, party representatives, including claims adjusters for insured defendants, and the primary attorney(s) responsible for the litigation at the conference. Each party must prepare a Confidential Settlement Letter, to be lodged no later May 26, 2023. The Confidential Letter must be lodged in .pdf format via email to effile goddard@casd.uscourts.gov (not filed). The substance of the Confidential Letter must comply fully with Judge Goddard's Mandatory Settlement Conference Rules (located at

https://www.casd.uscourts.gov/Judges/goddard/docs/Goddard%20Mandatory%20Settlem ent%20Conference%20Rules.pdf). No later than May 26, 2023, each party must submit to the Court via email (not filed) (at efile_goddard@casd.uscourts.gov) the names, titles, and email addresses of all attendees. The Court will then send out the Zoom invitation to all attendees. All participants shall display the same level of professionalism during the Settlement Conference and be prepared to devote their full attention to the Settlement Conference as if they were attending in person, i.e., cannot be driving or in a car while speaking to the Court. Because Zoom may quickly deplete the battery of a participant's device, each participant should ensure that their device is plugged in or that a charging cable is readily available during the video conference. Counsel are advised that although the Settlement Conference will take place on Zoom, all participants shall appear and conduct themselves as if it is proceeding in a courtroom, i.e., all participants must dress in appropriate courtroom attire.

² Upon receipt of a joint email (to <u>efile_goddard@casd.uscourts.gov</u>) containing a firm date for private mediation and the name of the mediator, the settlement conference will come off calendar.

2. By August 14, 2023, if the parties reach an impasse after substantial meet and confer efforts, the parties may bring any discovery dispute to the Court's attention via email (at efile goddard@casd.uscourts.gov) regarding: (1) Plaintiff Morneau's responses to written discovery served on March 31, 2023; (2) Defendant's responses to RFPs served on April 13, 2023; (3) Defendant's responses to interrogatories and RFAs served on April 21, 2023; and (4) Plaintiff Strange's responses to written discovery served on May 1, 2023.

3. All fact discovery shall be completed by all parties by August 29, 2023. "Completed" means that all discovery under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and discovery subpoenas under Rule 45, must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cut-off date, so that it may be completed by the cut-off date, taking into account the times for service, notice and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Counsel shall promptly and in good faith meet and confer with regard to all discovery disputes in compliance with Local Rule 26.1(a). A failure to comply in this regard will result in a waiver of a party's discovery issue. Absent an order of the court, no stipulation continuing or altering this requirement will be recognized by the court. The Court expects counsel to make every effort to resolve all disputes without court intervention through the meet and confer process. If the parties reach an discovery issue, the movant must e-mail chambers impasse on any at efile goddard@casd.uscourts.gov no later than 45 days after the date of service of the written discovery response that is in dispute, seeking a telephonic conference with the Court to discuss the discovery dispute. The email must include: (1) at least three proposed times mutually agreed upon by the parties for the telephonic conference; (2) a neutral statement of the dispute; and (3) one sentence describing (not arguing) each parties' position. The movant must copy opposing counsel on the email. No discovery motion may be filed until the Court has conducted its pre-motion telephonic conference, unless the movant has obtained leave of Court. All parties are ordered to read and to fully comply 27 28 with the Chambers Rules of Magistrate Judge Allison H. Goddard.

1

2

3

4

4. The parties shall designate their respective experts in writing by September 19, 2023. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(A), the parties must identify any person who may be used at trial to present evidence pursuant to Rules 702, 703 or 705 of the Fed. R. Evid. This requirement is not limited to retained experts. The date for exchange of rebuttal experts shall be by **October 10, 2023**. The written designations shall include the name, address and telephone number of the expert and a reasonable summary of the testimony the expert is expected to provide. The list shall also include the normal rates the expert charges for deposition and trial testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9 5. By September 19, 2023, each party shall comply with the disclosure 10 provisions in Rule 26(a)(2)(A) and (B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This disclosure requirement applies to all persons retained or specially employed to provide 12 expert testimony, or whose duties as an employee of the party regularly involve the giving 13 of expert testimony. Except as provided in the paragraph below, any party that fails 14 to make these disclosures shall not, absent substantial justification, be permitted to 15 use evidence or testimony not disclosed at any hearing or at the time of trial. In 16 addition, the Court may impose sanctions as permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c).

6. Any party shall supplement its disclosure regarding contradictory or rebuttal evidence under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(D) and 26(e) by October 10, 2023.

7. All expert discovery shall be completed by all parties by October 31, 2023. The parties shall comply with the same procedures set forth in the paragraph governing fact discovery.

Failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the court 8. may result in the sanctions provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of experts or other designated matters in evidence.

9. All other pretrial motions must be filed by **November 20, 2023**. Counsel for the moving party must obtain a motion hearing date from the law clerk of the judge who will hear the motion. The period of time between the date you request a motion date and

the hearing date may vary from one district judge to another. Please plan accordingly. 2 Failure to make a timely request for a motion date may result in the motion not being heard. 3 Motions in limine are to be filed as directed in the Local Rules, or as otherwise set by the 4 district judge.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

10. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1(f)(3)(c), if an opposing party fails to file opposition papers in the time and manner required by Civil Local Rule 7.1(e)(2), that failure may constitute a consent to the granting of a motion or other request for ruling by the court. Accordingly, all parties are ordered to abide by the terms of Local Rule 7.1(e)(2) or otherwise face the prospect of any pretrial motion being granted as an unopposed motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1(f)(3)(c). Should either party choose to file or oppose a motion for summary judgment or partial summary judgment, no Separate Statement of Disputed or Undisputed Facts is required.

A Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be conducted on January 24, 2024 11. at 9:30 a.m. in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Allison H. Goddard. Plaintiff must serve on Defendant a written settlement proposal, which must include a specific demand amount, no later than January 3, 2024. The defendant must respond to the plaintiff in writing with a specific offer amount prior to the Meet and Confer discussion. The parties should not file or otherwise copy the Court on these exchanges. Rather, the parties must include their written settlement proposals in their respective Settlement Conference Statements to the Court. Counsel for the parties must meet and confer in person or by phone no later than January 10, 2024. Each party must prepare a Settlement Conference Statement, which will be served on opposing counsel and lodged with the Court no later than January 17, 2024. The Statement must be lodged in .pdf format via email to efile goddard@casd.uscourts.gov (not filed). The substance of the Settlement Conference Statement must comply fully with Judge Goddard's Mandatory Settlement Conference Rules (located at

27 https://www.casd.uscourts.gov/Judges/goddard/docs/Goddard%20Mandatory%20Settlem 28 ent%20Conference%20Rules.pdf). Each party may also prepare an optional Confidential

Settlement Letter for the Court's review only, to be lodged with the Court no later than 2 January 17, 2024. The Letter must be lodged in .pdf format via email to efile_goddard@casd.uscourts.gov (not filed). Should a party choose to prepare a Letter, 3 the substance of the Settlement Conference Letter must comply fully with Judge Goddard's Mandatory Settlement Conference Rules. All parties are ordered to read and to fully comply with the Chambers Rules and Mandatory Settlement Conference Rules of Magistrate Judge Allison H. Goddard.

12. Despite the requirements of Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(2), neither party is required to file a Memorandum of Contentions of Fact and Law at any time. The parties shall instead focus their efforts on drafting and submitting a proposed pretrial order by the time and date specified by Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(6)(b). The proposed pretrial order shall comply with Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(6) and the Standing Order in Civil Cases issued by the assigned district judge.

13. Counsel shall comply with the pre-trial disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) by March 4, 2024. Failure to comply with these disclosure requirements could result in evidence preclusion or other sanctions under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37.

14. Counsel shall meet and take the action required by Local Rule 16.1(f)(4) by March 11, 2024. At this meeting, counsel shall discuss and attempt to enter into stipulations and agreements resulting in simplification of the triable issues. Counsel shall exchange copies and/or display all exhibits other than those to be used for impeachment. The exhibits shall be prepared in accordance with Local Rule 16.1(f)(4)(c). Counsel shall note any objections they have to any other parties' Pretrial Disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3). Counsel shall cooperate in the preparation of the proposed pretrial conference order.

15. Counsel for plaintiff will be responsible for preparing the pretrial order and arranging the meetings of counsel pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16.1(f). By March 18, 2024, plaintiff's counsel must provide opposing counsel with the proposed pretrial order for review and approval. Opposing counsel must communicate promptly

1

with plaintiff's attorney concerning any objections to form or content of the pretrial order, and both parties shall attempt promptly to resolve their differences, if any, concerning the order.

16. The Proposed Final Pretrial Conference Order, including objections to any other parties' Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures shall be prepared, served and lodged with the assigned district judge by March 25, 2024, and shall be in the form prescribed in and comply with Local Rule 16.1(f)(6).

8 17. In addition to submitting the proposed final pretrial conference order, the parties are further ordered to separately submit informal letter briefs, not exceeding two single spaced pages, served on opposing counsel and received in the chambers of Judge Thomas J. Whelan, United States District Judge (and not filed with the Clerk's Office) by 2:30 p.m. on March 25, 2024. The letter brief should be a relatively informal and straightforward document. The letter brief should outline a short, concise and objective 13 factual summary of the party's case in chief, the number of hours/days each party intends 14 to expend at trial, the approximate number of witnesses, whether certain witnesses will be 16 coming in from out of town, the number of testifying expert witnesses, whether any unique demonstrative exhibits may be presented, the number of proposed motions in limine that may be filed, precisely when the parties would be prepared to submit their in limine papers (and whether the parties have met and conferred with respect to in limine issues), the issue 19 20 of proposed jury instructions and when the parties intend to submit them before trial, and voir dire issues, either party's preference as to what date(s) the trial should begin and any other pertinent information that either party may deem useful to assist the Court in the 23 execution of the pretrial conference and in setting the matter for trial.

18. The final Pretrial Conference is scheduled on the calendar of the Honorable Thomas J. Whelan on April 1, 2024 at 10:30 a.m.

The parties must review the chambers' rules for the assigned district judge 26 19. 27 and magistrate judge.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

21

22

24

25

20. A post trial settlement conference before a magistrate judge may be held within 30 days of verdict in the case.

21. The dates and times set forth herein will not be modified except for good cause shown.

22. Briefs or memoranda in support of or in opposition to any pending motion shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length without leave of a district court judge. No reply memorandum shall exceed ten (10) pages without leave of a district court judge. Briefs and memoranda exceeding ten (10) pages in length shall have a table of contents and a table of authorities cited.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 3, 2023

paral

Honorable Allison H. Goddard United States Magistrate Judge