

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 In re: THE VIDA L. EDDY LIVING
11 TRUST

12 BRADLEY PASSICK,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
16 HOUSING AND URBAN
17 DEVELOPMENT,

18 Defendant.

Case No.: 24-cv-656-L-DDL

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF HOUSING AND URBAN
DEVELOPMENT'S MOTION TO
DISMISS**

[ECF No. 7]

19
20 Pending before the Court is Defendant United States Department of Housing and
21 Urban Development's ("HUD") motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
22 Procedure 12(b)(1) and (5) for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction and insufficient service.
23 (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff did not oppose the motion within the time for filing an opposition
24 or otherwise request additional time to respond. *See* Civ. Loc. Rule 7.1.e.2. HUD's
25 motion is therefore granted as unopposed. *See* Civ. Loc. Rule 7.1.f.3.c; *Ghazali v.*
26 *Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995). All claims asserted against Defendant HUD
27 are dismissed without prejudice.

28 //

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 8, 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. James Lorenz", written over a horizontal line.

Hon. M. James Lorenz
United States District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28