1

2

3

4

5

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ä

FILED

06 APR 24 PM 1:53

AICHARD W. WIEKING LERK. U.S. DISTRICT COURT PRINCE OF CALIFORNIA

Suzanne Shell - pro se dsshell@ix.netcom.com 14053 Eastonville Rd. Elbert, CO 80106 Telephone: (719)749-2971 Fax: (719)749-2972

Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

INTERNET ARCHIVE

Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant

٧.

15 SUZANNE SHELL 16

Defendant and

Counterclaim Plaintiff and

Third Party Plaintiff

٧.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

AND THIRD PARTY CROSS CLAIMS

CASE NO. C 06 0397 JSW

REPLY TO AFFIRMATIVE **DEFENSES** 

Counterclaim Plaintiff, Suzanne Shell, replies to Affirmative Defenses filed by Internet Archive and states with regard to each:

Ms. Shell denies first affirmative defense (Failure to State Claim) and demands it 1. be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.

Reply to Affirmative Defenses page 1

| 1  |      |
|----|------|
| 2  |      |
| 3  |      |
| 4  |      |
| 5  |      |
| 3  |      |
| 7  |      |
| 8  | l    |
| 9  |      |
| 10 |      |
| 11 |      |
| 12 | ľ    |
| 13 |      |
| 14 |      |
| 15 |      |
| 16 |      |
| 17 |      |
| 18 |      |
| 19 |      |
| 20 | l    |
| 21 |      |
| 22 | $\ $ |
| 23 |      |
| 24 |      |
| 25 |      |
| 26 |      |

- 2. Ms. Shell denies second affirmative defense (Implied License) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.
- 3. Ms. Shell denies third affirmative defense (Fair Use) and demands strict proof.
- 4. Ms. Shell denies fourth affirmative defense (Consent) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.
- 5. Ms. Shell denies fifth affirmative defense (No Valid Copyright Registration) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.
- 6. Ms. Shell denies sixth affirmative defense (Not Original Work) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.
- 7. Ms. Shell denies seventh affirmative defense (Statute of Limitations or Laches) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.
- 8. Ms. Shell denies eight affirmative defense (Copyright Misuse) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.
- 9. Ms. Shell denies ninth affirmative defense (Unclean Hands) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.
- 10. Ms. Shell denies tenth affirmative defense (Third Party Acts) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.
- 11. Ms. Shell denies eleventh affirmative defense (Failure to Mitigate) and demands it be stricken as false on its face, or failing that, demands strict proof.

april 18,2006 Respectfully Submitted

Suzanne Shell - pro se 14053 Eastonville Rd.

Elbert, CO 80106

719-749-2971

Reply to Affirmative Defenses page 3

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of the attached document REPLY TO AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES were placed in the United States Mail, first class mail, postage prepaid on April 19, 2006 to:

April 19, 2006