Case 1:06-cv-02528-JLK Document 52-3 Filed 02/21/2007 Page 1 of 13

```
1
                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO
 2
    Civil Action No. 06-cv-02528
3
    CHRIS BRAY, et al.,
4
                                                 ORIGINAL
    Plaintiffs,
5
    vs.
6
    QFA ROYALTIES LLC,
    Defendant.
 8
9
                       REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
10
                   (Motion for Protective Order)
11
                   Proceedings before the HONORABLE JOHN L.
12
    KANE, JR., Senior Judge, Alfred A. Arraj United States
     Courthouse for the District of Colorado, commencing at
13
     1:59 p.m. on Thursday, February 8, 2007, in Courtroom
     A802, Denver, Colorado.
14
15
                         APPEARANCES
16
    FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
                             JUSTIN KLINE, ESQ.
                             (By phone)
                             Marks & Kline LLP
17
                             63 Riverside Avenue
                             Red Bank, NJ 07701
18
19
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                             FREDERIC A. COHEN, ESQ.
                             (By Phone)
20
                             DLA Piper
                             203 LaSalle Street
21
                             Chicago, IL 60601
22
                             LEONARD H. MacPHEE, ESQ.
                             (By phone)
23
                             Perkins Coie LLP
                             1899 Wynkoop Street, Suite 700
24
                             Denver, CO 80202
25
```

Doc. 52 Att. 2

1	ADRIENNE WHITLOW, CSR 8000 E. Girard Street
2	Denver, CO 80231 Proceedings Reported by Mechanical Stenography Computer-Aided Transcription
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	(In open court at 9:00 a.m.)
6	THE COURT: All right. The record
7	should reflect that this is Civil Action No. 06-02528,
8	Christopher Bray, et al. v. QFA Royalties LLC,
9	Defendant.
10	There has been a motion by the Plaintiff
11	Jehad Majed for a Protective Order, and there's a
12	Response to the Motion for Protective Order which was
13	filed today. The motion was filed yesterday
14	afternoon.
15	So for the record, let's start with the
16	plaintiffs. And over the telephone, there's a court
17	reporter present and the courtroom deputy who is
18	taking a record of this proceeding. So I'd like the
19	plaintiffs' counsel to begin with entering your
20	appearances and then defense counsel to then enter
21	your appearance for today's hearing.
22	MR. KLINE: Good afternoon, your Honor.
23	This is Justin Kline, Marks & Kline LLP, on behalf of
24	all of the plaintiffs and Mr. Majed.
25	MP MagDhoo. And good afternoon your

- 1 Honor. Lynn MacPhee with Perkins Coie here in Denver,
- 2 and also on the phone with me is Rick Cohen in Chicago
- 3 on behalf of the defendant.
- 4 MR. COHEN: Good afternoon, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. I've read both
- of these motions, but let me hear whatever the
- 7 plaintiff wants to say, and then I'll hear from
- 8 defense and then from plaintiff again.
- 9 MR. KLINE: Your Honor, this is Justin
- 10 Kline. Thank you.
- 11 First of all, we apologize for having to
- 12 file the motion, but the procedure and what happened
- 13 was Mr. Majed was scheduled to be deposed several
- 14 weeks ago following defendant's Motion for Expedited
- 15 Discovery, and after some productive conversations
- 16 between Mr. Cohen and myself, we were able to settle
- 17 out some of the claims of the plaintiffs, which part
- 18 of the resolution of those claims was that the
- 19 settling plaintiffs would not be required to appear
- 20 for deposition.
- 21 Some five weeks later we were served
- 22 with a Notice of Deposition, which is reflected in the
- 23 papers, and in response to that notice, which we deem
- 24 upon unreasonable notice, defendants presumed to
- 25 desire to take Mr. Majed's deposition, regardless of

- 1 the agreement that we had made previously, based on
- 2 some information that they said they had recently
- 3 discovered. And we feel that there is no reason to
- 4 take Mr. Majed's deposition. In fact, the purpose of
- 5 the hearing in this upcoming week is simply to
- 6 determine whether or not an injunction is appropriate
- 7 as to preserve the status quo pending the merits of
- 8 the remaining plaintiffs' cases and whether or not
- 9 they will be irreparably harmed if an injunction is
- 10 not entered.
- 11 Mr. Majed has settled his claims.
- 12 Therefore, any information he would have to provide
- 13 would be irrelevant to the scope of the hearing next
- 14 week, and it's our position that this is simply
- 15 harassing and vexing and unnecessary.
- 16 The information that I've learned now in
- 17 defendant's response as to the purpose of taking Mr.
- 18 Majed's deposition to, quote, "authenticate the
- 19 e-mails," referring to e-mails sent to or from Mr.
- 20 Bray or Mr. Majed, the timing of the e-mails, and to
- 21 confirm Mr. Majed's statements. Mr. Majed has already
- 22 testified. His statements are his statements.
- 23 Moreover, Mr. Majed, at the request of
- 24 the defendants, stipulated to the accuracy of the
- 25 e-mails that he provided in discovery. Therefore,

- 1 there's no reason to cause him to appear for a
- 2 deposition, much less on two day's notice, three
- 3 business days before the hearing, when his attorneys
- 4 would have to prep him and of course not be able to
- 5 appear, as he's based in Michigan, and the defendants
- 6 know that.
- 7 The purpose for filing the motion was
- 8 that we requested that they -- we objected to the
- 9 taking of the deposition. Defendants refused to
- 10 withdraw the Notice -- or I don't know if that's
- 11 necessarily an accurate statement. I don't know if I
- 12 asked them to withdraw the Notice. I objected to the
- 13 Notice, and they continued to pursue the deposition,
- 14 and rather than face some objectionable actions on our
- 15 part, we filed the Motion for Protective Order as the
- 16 Notice is unreasonable and inappropriate for the
- 17 purposes of the hearing next week.
- 18 THE COURT: Let me hear from defense
- 19 now, please.
- MR. COHEN: Your Honor, this is Rick
- 21 Cohen. I will go directly to the purpose for which we
- 22 wish to take Mr. Majed's deposition, and it has little
- 23 to do with Mr. Majed.
- We served document requests and
- 25 interrogatories on a next best basis to each of the

- 1 named, I think, eight named plaintiffs and also sent
- 2 deposition notices. Shortly thereafter, as Mr. Kline
- 3 points out, we were able to enter into resolutions
- 4 with five of the eight, leaving three plaintiffs for
- 5 purposes of the Preliminary Injunction motion that's
- 6 set to begin on Monday.
- We received Interrogatory Responses from
- 8 each of those three. We received documents from two
- 9 of them, no documents whatsoever relating to e-mail
- 10 communications or anything else relating to the
- 11 website of the posting of the suicide note from Mr.
- 12 Bray in advance of his deposition. Mr. Majed did
- 13 provide certain documents, including e-mails between
- 14 he and Mr. Bray, and as we've learned through
- 15 discovery now, he and Mr. Bray -- Mr. Majed and Mr.
- 16 Bray were the persons principally involved in the
- 17 posting of the suicide note on the website.
- 18 At his deposition, at Mr. Bray's deposition,
- 19 I presented Mr. Bray with several of these e-mails
- 20 which we had received from Mr. Majed's production.
- 21 And I asked him about them, and I asked him apart from
- 22 about their content, I asked him why he had not
- 23 produced any of these documents, as we point out in
- 24 the footnote in our response to the motion. He
- 25 testified that he had -- and bear in mind, your Honor,

- 1 we're talking about e-mails that are being sent back
- 2 and forth in late November and early December, a month
- 3 and a half ago, roughly two months ago. He said he
- 4 had not only deleted them from the e-mail, but he was
- 5 just a busy e-mailer that he had then gone into his
- 6 deleted box and deleted them there as well. I asked
- 7 him whether or not he's ever been admonished not to --
- 8 to preserve evidence relating to the law suit. He
- 9 acknowledged that he had been by Mr. Kline, and was
- 10 unable to testify when it was he destroyed or deleted
- 11 these e-mails. We're not just talking about e-mails,
- 12 we're also talking about other documents relating
- directly to the communications mostly of pay members
- 14 specifically concerning the posting of the suicide
- 15 note on the website.
- Another example, your Honor, is Ms.
- 17 Abid, one of the plaintiffs who has not settled and
- 18 against whom we'll go forward on Monday, claimed that
- 19 upon learning of the posting she sent a note to Mr.
- 20 Bray demanding that he take the suicide note down
- 21 right away. There's a question about the timing of
- 22 Ms. Abid's sending of that note, and in order to
- 23 confirm it we needed a copy of the note that Mr. Bray
- 24 had received from her. That's another document that
- 25 Mr. Bray apparently destroyed. So we're not able to

- 1 test Ms. Abid's testimony, either.
- In the wake of Mr. Bray's -- one other
- 3 point, your Honor. Not only did Mr. Bray say that he
- 4 had destroyed all of these e-mails, when I asked him
- 5 questions about the timing of his receipt and the
- 6 timing of his response and showed, and was able to
- 7 show at his deposition that he and Mr. Majed were
- 8 opportunistically seeking to take advantage of Mr.
- 9 Baber's suicide to advance the -- I won't characterize
- 10 them -- but the purpose of the TSFA, he backtracked
- 11 and said no, I can't acknowledge that because I can't
- 12 tell you for certain that the date and the time on
- 13 this e-mail is correct because there was a problem
- 14 with the timing and the dating on my computer which I
- only found out about later and subsequently had
- 16 fixed.
- 17 So basically, what we've got is a
- 18 witness against whom we're going forward who's going
- 19 to give testimony inconsistent with certain documents,
- 20 which we will use the documents to impeach, but then
- 21 we've got an obligation to prove up the impeachment.
- 22 That's the reason why we want to take Mr. Majed's
- 23 deposition. We've been asking for it since the day
- 24 after Mr. Bray's deposition, which was the middle of
- 25 next week. We've offered every accommodation to go to

- 1 Detroit, to take it by phone, to do anything else.
- 2 And I would point out one other thing, your Honor. I
- 3 think we mentioned it in the response.
- 4 As a part of the Settlement Agreement
- 5 which we have sent to the settling plaintiffs and
- 6 which up to this moment has still not been signed by
- 7 any of them, and I'll note that Mr. Majed still is a
- 8 party, has not filed a voluntary dismissal or other
- 9 notice of dismissal, has not signed the Settlement
- 10 Agreement.
- In that Settlement Agreement, one of the
- 12 conditions of each of the settling plaintiffs is that
- 13 they give us full disclosure of their own individual
- 14 involvement in the decision to post the suicide note
- on the website and their own involvement in the
- 16 execution of that decision. Mr. Majed agreed to do
- 17 that, and there's absolutely no reason why,
- 18 particularly since he's still a party, and all things
- 19 considered, why he can't give us that full disclosure
- 20 with a court reporter sitting right next to him.
- 21 We'll arrange it. It can be in Detroit, it can be
- 22 over the phone. The inconvenience would be minimal,
- 23 if any, to him, and he will simply be fulfilling what
- 24 Mr. Kline himself acknowledges is a commitment he's
- 25 undertaken in the Settlement Agreement. And without

- 1 this testimony, we're put in a position of not being
- 2 able to prove up the impeachment, which we feel will
- 3 be extraordinarily compelling at the hearing
- 4 commencing Monday.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Back to you, Mr.
- 6 Kline.
- 7 MR. KLINE: Thank you, your Honor.
- 8 Just a couple of points.
- 9 First of all, Mr. Cohen spent the
- 10 majority of his time talking about Mr. Bray and
- 11 certain documents that he might or might not have.
- 12 This is totally unrelated to Mr. Majed and the
- 13 necessity to take his deposition. Any letters that
- 14 Mr. Bray might or might not have received from Ms.
- 15 Abid has nothing to do with Mr. Majed.
- 16 Moreover, and despite Mr. Cohen's use of
- 17 rhetoric and his client's position in the hearing,
- 18 Mr. Majed already stipulated to the accuracy of the
- 19 documents that Mr. Cohen now wants to depose him
- 20 about. I don't know what more he needs to say, and
- 21 the purpose of the deposition is a fishing expedition,
- 22 which Mr. Cohen just described, to impeach Mr. Bray.
- 23 If he wants to impeach Mr. Bray with the documents
- 24 that he has and the deposition testimony that Mr. Bray
- 25 already gave, that is irrespective of Mr. Majed's

- 1 necessity to give a deposition on information that
- 2 he's already stipulated to.
- The only other thing that I would add,
- 4 as I go through my notes, if you'll give me a second,
- 5 is that it's not whether or not Mr. Cohen and Mr.
- 6 MacPhee and the defendants are accommodating Mr. Majed.
- 7 The rules, the local rules in Colorado say that you
- 8 have to give 11 days for notice for the deposition,
- 9 and clearly, the Notice on this is unreasonable. Not
- 10 for accommodating Mr. Majed, but also to accommodate
- 11 his attorneys and the ability to prep Mr. Majed and to
- 12 properly prepare him for any deposition or any
- 13 deposition testimony.
- 14 That being said, I understand we are on
- 15 an expedited schedule. Two days is still unreasonable.
- 16 And that being said, this was Defendant's Motion for
- 17 Expedited Discovery. If they wanted to take Mr.
- 18 Majed's deposition, they had plenty of opportunity
- 19 to.
- That's all I have, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you. I'm going to
- 22 permit a deposition of Mr. Majed to be taken by
- 23 telephone only, and for not more than two hours, and
- 24 it will have to be done on this Friday, February 9,
- 25 and it will be limited to the matters raised in

- 1 paragraph 8 of the Response to the Motion for
- 2 Protective Order in Document No. 34 filed today. The
- 3 defendant's response to Motion for Protective Order
- 4 and paragraph 8 relates to the matters of Mr. Bray
- 5 refusing to acknowledge the accuracy of e-mails
- 6 between Mr. Majed and himself that had been produced
- 7 by Mr. Majed, the footnote as well that is dumping of
- 8 the e-mails or deleting them, I guess, is it correct
- 9 word, and the other matters set forth in paragraph 8.
- Now, if there is a settlement with any
- 11 of these people, the settlement documents must be
- 12 filed not later than 9 a.m. on Monday, February 12, or
- 13 the parties will still be bound by my ruling on the
- 14 Preliminary Injunction. They will still be considered
- 15 to be active parties in the case until such time as
- 16 there is an order dismissing based upon settlement.
- 17 That's the way we're going to proceed.
- I have one other suggestion for you, and
- 19 that's if some of these people can settle, it seems to
- 20 me like all of you should, but if not, we'll see you
- 21 in court at 9 a.m. on Monday, the 12th.
- MR. KLINE: Thank you very much, your
- 23 Honor.
- MR. MacPHEE: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Thank you. We'll be in

1	recess.
2	(Court recessed at 2:18 p.m.)
3	* * * *
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	
6	I, ADRIENNE WHITLOW, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter in and for the State of Colorado, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing is a true and correct
9	transcript of the proceedings had in the within
10	entitled and numbered cause on the date hereinbefore
11	set forth.
12	
13	
14	adreense lelection
15	ADRIENNE WHITLÓW, CSR Certified Shorthand Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	