A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
ATTEST

By Tarrell L. Littleton on Jan 22, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL

on

MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jan 07, 2010

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: STAND 'N SEAL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1804

FRED IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S.D.C. Atlanta

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

JAN 22 2010

CONDITIONAL REMAND ORDER

JAMES N. HATTEN, CLERK
By: Deputy Clerk

The transferee court in this litigation has advised the Panel that coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings in the actions on this conditional remand order have been completed and that remand to the transferor courts, as provided in 28 U.S.C. §1407(a), is appropriate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions on this conditional remand order be remanded to their respective transferor courts.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 7.6 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 436-38 (2001) (Rules), the transmittal of this order to the transferee clerk for filing shall be stayed 14 days from the date of this order. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel. This order does not become effective until it is filed in the office of the Clerk for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 7.6(g), the parties shall furnish the Clerk for the Northern District of Georgia with a stipulation or designation of the contents of the record to be remanded and all necessary copies of any pleadings or other matter filed so as to enable said Clerk to comply with the order of remand.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jan 22, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Clerk of the Panel

FILED
United States District Court
Denver, Colorado

January 27, 2010

GREGORY C. LANGHAM, CLERK by s/Sandra Hartmann . Deputy Clerk

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED THIS

JAN 2 2 2019

James N. Hatten Clerk By:

Dockets.Justia.com

MDL No. 1804

SCHEDULE FOR CONDITIONAL REMAND ORDER

		EREE C.A. NO.			SFEROR V. <u>C.A. NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
GAN	1	07-341	ARW	4	06-4073	Vaneasia Willard v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-1225	CAC	8	07-384	Michael Holland, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-136	CO	1	06-1485	Erika Wong v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-742	CO	1	07-358	Robert Balaty, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-2324	СО	1	07-1738	Jacqueline Birdsall v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-2325	СО	1	07-1740	Dorothy Paszak v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-2435	СО	1	07-1803	Sandie Himmelman, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-350	FLM	6	06-1662	Daniel Delpha, et al. v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-1039	FLS	0	07-60286	Jennifer Agnew, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	08-2062	ILN	1	08-2483	Dennis Custy, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	08-141	MIE	2	07-15190	Richard Bergman, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	08-2305	MOW	4	08-399	Miles Carpenter, et al. v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-1158	MSS	3	07-159	Donna Bowen, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-1159	NM	1	07-288	Eugene Powell, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	07-1037	NV	2	07-344	Sandra Bobadilla, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN	1	08-1389	NV	2	08-229	Sheilah Williams, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.

MDL No. 1804 - Schedule CRO (Continued)

TRANSF DIST. DIV.				EROR C.A. NO.	CASE CAPTION
GAN 1	07-229	NYE 2		06-3213	Christopher Szczepanski, et al. v. Roanoke
GAN 1	07-1160	NYS 1		07-2375	Companies Group, Inc., et al. Byron Daley, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN 1	07-1161	TXE 1		07-165	Judy Brooks, et al. v. Roanoke Companies
GAN 1	07-1038	TXN 3		07-466	Group, Inc., et al. Vicki Carson, et al. v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN 1	07-1036	TXS 4	}	07-673	Christopher Arceneaux, et al. v. Roanoke
GAN 1	07-187	TXW 5	5	05-1013	Companies Group, Inc., et al. Katherine Stolte v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.
GAN 1	07-188	TXW 5	5	06-643	Julia C. Lilley v. Roanoke Companies Group, Inc., et al.

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN: John G. Heyburn II United States District Court Western District of Kentucky MEMBERS: Robert L. Miller, Jr. United States District Court Northern District of Indiana

Kathryn H. Vratil United States District Court District of Kansas

David R. Hansen United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit W. Royal Furgeson, Jr. United States District Court Northern District of Texas

Frank C. Damrell, Jr. United States District Court Eastern District of California

David G. Trager United States District Court Eastern District of New York DIRECT REPLY TO:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel One Columbus Circle, NE Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800 Fax: [202] 502-2888 http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov

January 22, 2010

James N. Hatten, Clerk
2217 Richard B. Russell Federal Building
& U.S. Courthouse
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303-3309

Re: MDL No. 1804 -- IN RE: Stand 'n Seal Products Liability Litigation

(See Attached CRO and Schedule of Actions)

Dear Mr. Hatten:

Attached as a PDF document is a certified copy of a conditional remand order filed by the Panel in the above-captioned matter on January 7, 2010. The order was entered pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a) which provides that "[E]ach action so transferred by the Panel shall be remanded by the Panel at or before the conclusion of such pretrial proceedings to the district from which it was transferred...."

Please note that transmittal of the order was stayed 14 days to give any party an opportunity to oppose the remand. The 14-day period has now elapsed, no opposition has been received, and the order is directed to you for filing.

Pursuant to Rule 7.6(g) of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 438 (2001), parties are to furnish you with a stipulation or designation of the contents of the record to be remanded and all necessary copies of any pleading or other matter filed to enable you to comply with the remand order.

Very truly,

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

> Tarrell L. Littleton Deputy Clerk

By Tand X. Littetox

Attachment (Conditional Remand Order is a Separate Document)

cc: Transferee Judge: Judge Thomas W. Thrash, Jr.