Simon v. DIRECTV, Inc. Doc. 38

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Judge Philip A. Brimmer

Civil Action No. 09-cv-00852-PAB-KLM

DOUGLAS J. SIMON,

Plaintiff,

٧.

DIRECTV, INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER ACCEPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on the Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Kristen L. Mix filed on March 19, 2010 [Docket No. 36]. The

Recommendation states that objections to the Recommendation must be filed within fourteen days after its service on the parties. See also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The Recommendation was served on March 19, 2010. No party has objected to the Recommendation.

In the absence of an objection, the district court may review a magistrate judge's recommendation under any standard it deems appropriate. *Summers v. Utah*, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) ("[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings"). In this matter, I have reviewed the Recommendation to

satisfy myself that there is "no clear error on the face of the record." See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), Advisory Committee Notes. Based on this review, I have concluded that the Recommendation is a correct application of the facts and the law. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge [Docket No. 36] is ACCEPTED.
 - 2. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 32] is granted.
 - 3. This matter, and all claims asserted therein, is dismissed with prejudice.

DATED April 12, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Philip A. Brimmer
PHILIP A. BRIMMER
United States District Judge

¹This standard of review is something less than a "clearly erroneous or contrary to law" standard of review, Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a), which in turn is less than a de novo review. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).