

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 09-00983-WYD-KLM

WELLMAN E. GIBSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANNA MARIE CAMPBELL,  
C. HOLST, AIC,  
SHIRLEY STEINBECK,  
MARSHALL GRIFFITH,  
LT. STEINBECK, and  
DOCTOR ASSEN,

Defendants.

---

**MINUTE ORDER**

---

**ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE KRISTEN L. MIX**

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's **Motion for an Order Compelling Discovery** [Docket No. 144; Filed November 3, 2010] (the "Motion"). Plaintiff has been previously warned about his obligation to submit a certification that his pleading has been served on Defendant pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 5.1G. [Docket No. 120]. The present Motion again fails to comply. It is subject to denial on this basis alone. Plaintiff has also been previously warned that any discovery motion must provide a legal basis for ordering the nonmoving party to provide the discovery at issue pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1C., including the relevance of the materials sought to Plaintiff's litigation [Docket No. 134]. The Motion again fails to comply. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** that the Motion is **DENIED without prejudice**. Any future motion to compel discovery must comply with all applicable Federal and Local Rules. Despite the fact that Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, he is obligated to comply with the rules of the Court. See *Green v. Dorrell*, 969 F.2d 915, 917 (10th Cir. 1992).

Dated: November 4, 2010