

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

**FILED**  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DENVER, COLORADO

Civil Action No. 09-cv-01192-BNB

NOV 06 2009

AARON COLEMAN,  
Applicant,

GREGORY C. LANGHAM  
CLERK

---

v.

BRIGHAM SLOAN, Warden/Trustee, Bent County Correctional Facility, and  
JOHN SUTHERS, Attorney General of the State of Colorado,  
Respondents.

---

ORDER TO FILE PRE-ANSWER RESPONSE

---

As part of the preliminary consideration of the remaining claims in the second amended Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 filed on October 22, 2009, in this action and pursuant to *Denson v. Abbott*, 554 F. Supp. 2d 1206 (D. Colo. 2008), the Court has determined that a limited Pre-Answer Response is appropriate. Respondents are directed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts to file a Pre-Answer Response limited to addressing the affirmative defenses of timeliness under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or exhaustion of state court remedies under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(A). If Respondents do not intend to raise either of these affirmative defenses, they must notify the Court of that decision in the Pre-Answer Response. Respondents may not file a dispositive motion as their Pre-Answer Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in response to this Order.

In support of the Pre-Answer Response, Respondents should attach as exhibits all relevant portions of the state court record, including but not limited to copies of all documents demonstrating whether this action is filed in a timely manner and/or whether

Applicant has exhausted state court remedies.

Applicant may reply to the Pre-Answer Response and provide any information that might be relevant to the one-year limitation period under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or the exhaustion of state court remedies. Applicant also should include information relevant to equitable tolling, specifically as to whether he has pursued his claims diligently and whether some extraordinary circumstance prevented him from filing a timely 28 U.S.C. § 2254 action in this Court. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that **within twenty (20) days from the date of this Order** Respondents shall file a Pre-Answer Response that complies with this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that **within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Pre-Answer Response** Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondents do not intend to raise either of the affirmative defenses of timeliness or exhaustion of state court remedies, they must notify the Court of that decision in the Pre-Answer Response.

DATED November 6, 2009, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland  
United States Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Civil Action No. 09-cv-01192-BNB

Aaron Coleman  
Prisoner No. 84638  
Bent County Correctional Facility  
11560 Road FF.75  
Las Animas, CO 81054-9573

Brigham Sloan, Warden/Trustee - **CERTIFIED\***  
Bent County Correctional Facility  
11560 Road FF.75  
Las Animas, CO 81054-9573

John Suthers, Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
**DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY  
COURTESY COPY**

Paul Sanzo, Asst. Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
**DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY  
COURTESY COPY**

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the ORDER to the above-named individuals, and the following forms to Brigham Sloan and to John Suthers: AMENDED APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED 10/22/09 and ORDER TO DISMISS IN PART FILED 11/4/09 on 11/6/09.

GREGORY C. LANGHAM, CLERK

By: 

Deputy Clerk