IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Judge Philip A. Brimmer

Civil Action No. 09-cv-01295-PAB-MEH

JUSTIN METCALF, WILLIAM CURRIER, and HERMAN CHRISTOPHE, individually and on behalf of similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

FCI AND ASSOCIATES, INC., DAN FITCH, and MIKE BUCHART,

Defendants.

ORDER APPROVING COLLECTIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

This matter is before the Court on the parties' joint motion for an order approving their collective action settlement [Docket No. 44]. *Cf.* 29 U.S.C § 215(b); *Lynn's Food Stores, Inc. v. United States*, 679 F.2d 1350, 1353 (11th Cir. 1982) ("When employees bring a private action for back wages under the FLSA, and present to the district court a proposed settlement, the district court may enter a stipulated judgment after scrutinizing the settlement for fairness." (citing *Schulte, Inc. v. Gangi*, 328 U.S. 108, 113 n.8 (1946))). Having reviewed the motion, the record, and the details of the settlement agreement [Docket No. 44-2], the Court concludes that the settlement agreement reflects a reasonable compromise over the issues that are actually in dispute in this case. Furthermore, the agreement was reached in an adversarial context and arrived

at a fair disposition of the claims and arrived at a fair settlement of the costs of litigation.

See generally Lynn's Food Stores, Inc., 679 F.2d 1350.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the parties' joint motion for an order approving their collective

action settlement [Docket No. 44] is GRANTED. The Court approves the parties'

proposed settlement agreement. It is further

ORDERED that the Court will maintain jurisdiction over this action until the terms

of the settlement agreement [Docket No. 44-2] are fully executed. It is further

ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion for conditional class certification and judicial

notice [Docket No. 16] is DENIED as moot. It is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to the Court's authority under D.C.COLO.LCivR 41.2,

this case shall be administratively closed during the pendency of the execution period of

the settlement agreement. On or before June 31, 2011, the case may be reopened by

motion of any party showing good cause. If, on or before June 31, 2011, no party files

a motion to reopen the case or a motion to extend that deadline, the case shall be

dismissed.

DATED September 10, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Philip A. Brimmer

PHILIP A. BRIMMER

United States District Judge

2