## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 09-cv-01327-BNB

MICHEAL DAVID HASTY,

Plaintiff,

٧.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO

JUN 2 3 2009

GREGORY C. LANGHAM

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, and EL PASO COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE CENTER,

Defendants.

## ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Micheal David Hasty, currently is incarcerated at the El Paso County

Detention Facility in Colorado Springs, Colorado. Mr. Hasty initiated this action by filing

pro se a civil rights complaint for money damages pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28

U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3), alleging that his constitutional rights have been violated.

The Court must construe the complaint liberally because Mr. Hasty is a *pro se* litigant. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520-21 (1972); *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir. 1991). However, the Court should not act as a *pro se* litigant's advocate. *See Hall*, 935 F.2d at 1110. For the reasons stated below, Mr. Hasty will be ordered to file an amended complaint, name only the proper parties to the action, and assert personal participation by the proper parties.

The Court has reviewed the complaint and finds that it is deficient. Defendant El Paso County Criminal Justice Center is not a proper party to this action. Municipalities and municipal entities are not liable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 solely because their employees inflict injury on a plaintiff. *Monell v. New York City Dep't of Social Servs.* 

436 U.S. 658, 694 (1978); *Hinton v. City of Elwood, Kan.*, 997 F.2d 774, 782 (10th Cir. 1993). To establish liability, a plaintiff must show that a policy or custom exists and that there is a direct causal link between the policy or custom and the injury alleged. *City of Canton, Ohio v. Harris*, 489 U.S. 378, 385 (1989). Mr. Hasty cannot state a claim for relief under § 1983 merely by pointing to isolated incidents. *See Monell*, 436 U.S. at 694.

Mr. Hasty also may not sue the Colorado Department of Corrections. The State of Colorado and its entities are protected by Eleventh Amendment immunity. See Will v. Michigan Dep't of State Police, 491 U.S. 58, 66 (1989); Meade v. Grubbs, 841 F.2d 1512, 1525-26 (10th Cir. 1988). "It is well established that absent an unmistakable waiver by the state of its Eleventh Amendment immunity, or an unmistakable abrogation of such immunity by Congress, the amendment provides absolute immunity from suit in federal courts for states and their agencies." Ramirez v. Oklahoma Dep't of Mental Health, 41 F.3d 584, 588 (10th Cir. 1994), overrruled on other grounds by Ellis v. University of Kansas Med. Ctr., 163 F.3d 1186 (10th Cir. 1998). The State of Colorado has not waived its Eleventh Amendment immunity, see Griess v. Colorado. 841 F.2d 1042, 1044-45 (10th Cir. 1988), and congressional enactment of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 did not abrogate Eleventh Amendment immunity, see Quern v. Jordan, 440 U.S. 332, 340-345 (1979). The Eleventh Amendment applies to all suits against the state and its agencies, regardless of the relief sought. See Higganbotham v. Okla. Transp. Comm'n, 328 F.3d 638, 644 (10th Cir. 2003).

Mr. Hasty also must assert personal participation by each named defendant.

See Bennett v. Passic, 545 F.2d 1260, 1262-63 (10th Cir. 1976). To establish

personal participation, Mr. Hasty must name and show how named defendants caused a deprivation of his federal rights. *See Kentucky v. Graham*, 473 U.S. 159, 166 (1985). There must be an affirmative link between the alleged constitutional violation and each defendant's participation, control or direction, or failure to supervise. *See Butler v. City of Norman*, 992 F.2d 1053, 1055 (10th Cir. 1993). A defendant may not be held liable on a theory of respondeat superior merely because of his or her supervisory position. *See Pembaur v. City of Cincinnati*, 475 U.S. 469, 479 (1986); *McKee v. Heggy*, 703 F.2d 479, 483 (10th Cir. 1983).

Mr. Hasty may use fictitious names, such as "John or Jane Doe," if he does not know the real names of the individuals who allegedly violated his rights. However, if Mr. Hasty uses fictitious names he must provide sufficient information about each defendant so that they can be identified for purposes of service.

Mr. Hasty, therefore, will be directed to file an amended complaint that clarifies who he is suing and alleges specific facts that demonstrate how each named defendant personally participated in the asserted constitutional violations. In order for Mr. Hasty to state a claim in federal court, his "complaint must explain what each defendant did to him or her; when the defendant did it; how the defendant's action harmed him or her; and, what specific legal right the plaintiff believes the defendant violated." *Nasious v. Two Unknown B.I.C.E. Agents*, 492 F.3d 1158, 1163 (10th Cir. 2007). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Plaintiff, Micheal David Hasty, file within thirty days from the date of this order an amended complaint that complies with the directives of this order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of the Court mail to Mr. Hasty, together with a copy of this order, two copies of the Court-approved Prisoner Complaint form to be used in submitting the amended complaint. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the amended complaint shall be titled "Amended Prisoner Complaint," and shall be filed with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the District of Colorado, Alfred A. Arraj United States Courthouse, 901 Nineteenth Street, A105, Denver, Colorado 80294. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Mr. Hasty fails to file an amended complaint within the time allowed, the complaint and the action will be dismissed without further notice.

DATED June 23, 2009, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland
United States Magistrate Judge

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Civil Action No. 09-cv-01327-BNB

Michael David Hasty Prisoner No. A0238880/135633 Criminal Justice Center 2739 E. Las Vegas St. Colorado Springs, CO 80906

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the ORDER and two copies of the Prisoner Complaint to the above-named individuals or (23/09)

GREGORY C. LANGHAM, CLERK

Denuty Clerk