

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 09-cv-01332-BNB

HAROLD G. HELGESON,

Applicant,

v.

BRAD DAVIS (Warden),

Respondent.

FILED  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DENVER, COLORADO

JUL 15 2009

GREGORY C. LANGHAM  
CLERK

---

ORDER TO FILE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

---

As part of the preliminary consideration of the Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 filed in this case on June 8, 2009, and pursuant to *Redmon v. Wiley*, 550 F. Supp. 2d 1275 (D. Colo. 2008), the Court has determined that a limited Preliminary Response is appropriate. Respondent is directed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts to file a Preliminary Response limited to addressing the affirmative defense of exhaustion of administrative remedies. Rule 1(b) of the Section 2254 Rules applies the rules to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas corpus actions.

If Respondent does not intend to raise this affirmative defense, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response. Respondent may not file a dispositive motion as a Preliminary Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in response to this Order.

In support of the Preliminary Response, Respondent should attach as exhibits

copies of any administrative grievances Applicant has filed raising the issues asserted in the Application, as well as any responses to those grievances. Applicant may reply to the Preliminary Response and provide any information that might be relevant to his efforts to exhaust administrative remedies. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that **within twenty (20) days from the date of this Order** Respondent shall file a Preliminary Response that complies with this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that **within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Preliminary Response** Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent does not intend to raise the affirmative defense of exhaustion of administrative remedies, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response.

DATED at Denver, Colorado, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

s/Craig B. Shaffer  
Craig B. Shaffer  
United States Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Civil Action No. 09-cv-01332-BNB

Harold G. Helgeson  
Reg No. 08804-046  
FPC – Englewood  
9595 W. Quincy Ave.  
Littleton, CO 80123

Brad Davis  
c/o Christopher B. Synsvoll  
Attorney Advisor

**DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY**

United States Attorney General - **CERTIFIED**  
Room 5111, Main Justice Bldg.  
10<sup>th</sup> and Constitution, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530

United States Attorney  
District of Colorado  
**DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY**

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the ORDER to the above-named individuals, and the following forms to Chris Snysvoll for service of process on Brad Davis; The United States Attorney General; and to the United States Attorney's Office: APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED 6/8/09 on 7/15/09.

GREGORY C. LANGHAM, CLERK

By:   
Deputy Clerk