Leet v. Astrue Doc. 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 09-cv-02599-AP

LAWRENCE KELLY LEET, Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security

Defendant,

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL AND PRO SE PARTIES

For Plaintiff:

Michael A. Desaulniers, Esq. 402 W. 12th Street Pueblo, CO 81003 719-543-8636 seckarlaw@mindspring.com

For Defendant:

DAVID M. GAOUETTE United States Attorney

KEVIN TRASKOS Deputy Chief, Civil Division United States Attorney's Office District of Colorado

THOMAS H. KRAUS Special Assistant United States Attorney 1961 Stout Street, Suite 1001A Denver, Colorado 80294 (303) 844-0017 tom.kraus@ssa.gov

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. Date Complaint Was Filed: 11/4/09.
- B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: 12/7/09.
- C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: <u>2/5/10</u>.

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

The parties, to the best of their knowledge, state that the administrative record is complete and accurate.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Neither party intends to submit additional evidence.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

The parties, to the best of their knowledge, do not believe the case raises unusual claims or defenses.

7. OTHER MATTERS

The parties have no other matters to bring to the attention of the court.

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Because of workload and scheduling conflicts, the parties respectfully respect briefing to commence later than 40 days after the filing of this Joint Case Management Plan, as follows:

- A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due: April 6, 2010.
- B. Defendant's Response Brief Due: May 14, 2010.
- C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: May 31, 2010.

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

A. Plaintiff's Statement:

Plaintiff does not request oral argument.

B. Defendant's Statement:

Defendant does not request oral argument.

10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE Indicate below the parties' consent choice.

- A. () All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. (X) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

11. OTHER MATTERS

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

12. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of good cause.

DATED this 25th day of February, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

<u>s/John L. Kane</u> U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED:

For Plaintiff:

Michael A. Desaulniers, Esq. 402 W. 12th Street Pueblo, CO 81003 719-543-8636 seckarlaw@mindspring.com

For Defendant:

DAVID M. GAOUETTE United States Attorney

KEVIN TRASKOS Deputy Chief, Civil Division United States Attorney's Office District of Colorado

THOMAS H. KRAUS Special Assistant United States Attorney 1961 Stout Street, Suite 1001A Denver, Colorado 80294 (303) 844-0017 tom.kraus@ssa.gov