

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 10-cv-00072-BNB

GARY DEWILLIAMS,

Applicant,

v.

UNITED STATES PAROLE COMMISSION,

Respondent.

**FILED**  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DENVER, COLORADO

JAN 27 2010

GREGORY C. LANGHAM  
CLERK

---

---

ORDER TO FILE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

---

As part of the preliminary consideration of the amended Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 filed on January 25, 2010, in this case and pursuant to *Redmon v. Wiley*, 550 F. Supp. 2d 1275 (D. Colo. 2008), the Court has determined that a limited Preliminary Response is appropriate. Respondent is directed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts to file a Preliminary Response limited to addressing the affirmative defense of exhaustion of administrative remedies. If Respondent does not intend to raise this affirmative defense, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response. Respondent may not file a dispositive motion as a Preliminary Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in response to this Order.

In support of the Preliminary Response, Respondent should attach as exhibits copies of any administrative grievances Applicant has filed raising the issues asserted

in the Application, as well as any responses to those grievances. Applicant may reply to the Preliminary Response and provide any information that might be relevant to his efforts to exhaust administrative remedies. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that **within twenty-one (21) days from the date of this Order** Respondent shall file a Preliminary Response that complies with this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that **within twenty-one (21) days of the filing of the Preliminary Response** Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent does not intend to raise the affirmative defense of exhaustion of administrative remedies, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the Prisoner's Motion and Affidavit for Leave to Proceed Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 in a Habeas Corpus Action filed on January 25, 2010 is denied as moot because Applicant paid the \$5.00 filing fee on January 13, 2010.

DATED January 27, 2010, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland  
United States Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Civil Action No. 10-cv-00072-BNB

Gary DeWilliams  
Reg No. 20666-013  
FCI - Englewood  
9595 West Quincy Ave.  
Littleton, CO 80123

United States Parole Commission - **CERTIFIED**  
5550 Friendship Boulevard  
Suite 420  
Chevy Chase, MD 20815-7286

United States Attorney General - **CERTIFIED**  
Room 5111, Main Justice Bldg.  
10<sup>th</sup> and Constitution, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530

United States Attorney  
District of Colorado  
**DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY**

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the ORDER to the above-named individuals, and the following forms to the United States Parole Commission; The United States Attorney General; and to the United States Attorney's Office: AMENDED APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED 1/25/10 on 1/27/10.

GREGORY C. LANGHAM, CLERK

By 

Deputy Clerk