Nagim v. Jackson et al Doc. 46

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Judge Philip A. Brimmer

Civil Action No. 10-cv-00328-PAB-KLM

RONALD J. NAGIM,

Plaintiff,

٧.

BONNIE F. JACKSON, ALICIA PELLERGRIN, STEVEN IRVING, JOSEPH E. ABRAHAM, JR., SANDRA HOYT ABRAHAM, STEPHEN PUGH, BRANDON FREEMAN and JOSEPH E. ABRAHAM, III,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes before the Court on the Affidavit and Motion for Default Judgment filed by plaintiff Ronald J. Nagim [Docket No 33]. Interpreting this document liberally, as I am required to do because of plaintiff's *pro se* status, *see Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972); *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 & n.3 (10th Cir. 1991), I construe it as a motion for entry of default and default judgment.

In order to obtain a judgment by default, a party must follow the two-step process described in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55: first, he or she must seek an entry of default from the Clerk of the Court under Rule 55(a); second, after default has been entered by the Clerk, the party must seek default judgment according to the strictures of Rule 55(b). *Williams v. Smithson*, No. 95-7019, 1995 WL 365988 at *1 (10th Cir. June

20, 1995) (unpublished table opinion) (citing *Meehan v. Snow*, 652 F.2d 274, 276 (2nd Cir. 1981)); *Nasious v. Nu-Way Real Estate*, No. 07-cv-01177-REB-MEH, 2008 WL 659667, at *1 (D. Colo. Mar. 6, 2008). Failure to successfully complete the first step of obtaining an entry of default necessarily precludes the granting of default judgment at step two. *See Williams*, 1995 WL 365988 at *1; *Nasious*, 2008 WL 659667, at *1.

In the present case, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a), the Clerk of the Court declined to enter default against defendants [Docket No. 32]. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a) ("When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default.").

The Clerk explained that the entry of default was denied for two reasons. First, defendant Pellergrin's responsive pleading was not yet due (defendant Pellergrin has since filed a timely motion to dismiss [Docket No. 35]) and the remaining defendants had filed motions to dismiss the case in what appeared to be a timely fashion. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4) notes that the time to file an answer to a complaint is postponed, generally, until ten days after the Court decides a motion to dismiss filed under Rule 12. Defendants' motions to dismiss under Rules 12(b)(5) and 12(b)(6) are such motions. Therefore, defendants are not required to file answers at this time. Second, the Clerk noted that plaintiff failed to include an affidavit concerning defendants' military status as required by the Servicemember's Civil Relief Act of 2003, Pub.L.No. 108-189, 117 Stat. 2835 (codified at 50 U.S.C. app § 521).

Because the plaintiff failed to obtain an entry of default under Rule 55(a) at step one, he may not obtain a default judgment at step two. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for default judgment [Docket No. 33] is DENIED.

DATED May 27, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Philip A. Brimmer
PHILIP A. BRIMMER
United States District Judge